| 1 | |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD |
| 3 | BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS |
| 4 | PUBLIC HEARINGS |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Wednesday |
| | March 20, 2024 |
| 7 | 10:16 a.m. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: |
| 13 | David L. Mammina, Chairman |
| 14 | Leslie Francis, Vice Chairman |
| 15 | Patricia Goodsell, Member |
| 16 | Daniel Donatelli, Member |
| 17 | Jay Hernandez, Member |
| 18 | |
| 19 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 20 | Deborah Algios, Deputy Town Attorney |
| 21 | Virginia Wagner, Secretary |
| 22 | Nicole L. Basile, Court Reporter |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

| 1 | Proceedings | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: If everyone would please stand up | | | | | | | |
| 3 | and join Vice Chairman Francis in the Pledge of Allegiance. | | | | | | | |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was said.) | | | | | | | |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: All right. Good morning ladies and | | | | | | | |
| 6 | gentlemen and welcome to the Town of North Hempstead Zoning | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Board of Appeals. What I'd like to do before we get started is | | | | | | | |
| 8 | go over the way that we conduct business here in North | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Hempstead, in case it's different then other boards that you've | | | | | | | |
| 10 | appeared before. So what we will do is as each case is called, | | | | | | | |
| 11 | we will ask for the applicant to identify themselves by | | | | | | | |
| 12 | standing up and coming forward to the podium. I will then ask | | | | | | | |
| 13 | also if there is anyone who has interest in the case. That can | | | | | | | |
| 14 | either support or that could be opposition. Thank you so much, | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Jay. I'm 70 years old. Okay. You gotta laugh sometimes. And | | | | | | | |
| 16 | at that point, the applicant will give their name and address | | | | | | | |
| 17 | to the stenographer. This is quasi judicial hearing, which as | | | | | | | |
| 18 | an architect, I had no idea what that meant but it was | | | | | | | |
| 19 | explained to me many, many years ago that essentially there is | | | | | | | |
| 20 | recourse on a decision that a zoning board might make. So at | | | | | | | |
| 21 | that point, the podium belongs to the applicant. The applicant | | | | | | | |
| 22 | will put onto the record whatever they would like to regarding | | | | | | | |
| 23 | the case presenting that. The Board will ask whatever | | | | | | | |
| 24 | questions it may seem fit. The applicant has the opportunity | | | | | | | |
| 25 | to answer those and then we'll ask the applicant to have a seat | | | | | | | |
| 26 | if there is anyone who wishes to speak and if there is, you'll | | | | | | | |

1 Proceedings

2 come up one at a time, give your name and address, put on the 3 record what you would like on the record and the Board will do 4 the same thing. Ask questions and have a back and forth. 5 will say many people who come to a zoning board have never come 6 to a zoning board before so don't be nervous. It's okay. Just 7 pretend we're talking across the kitchen table, you know, to 8 each other. There really is no reason. And at that point, the 9 opposition or support will have a seat. We have a three minute 10 time limit on that response. We're not going to cut anybody's 11 head off, but, you know, many times we have a room full of 12 people, you know, we've gotta limit it to three minutes. We 13 ask that people try, try not to repeat, you know, each other. 14 I know these things, you know, can, you know, can get, I don't 15 want to say personal per se but emotional and, you know, we 16 like to just try to keep that even. So the applicant then has 17 the opportunity to come up again and then the Board can ask whatever questions that it might seem fit based upon what 18 19 anyone else said. The applicant will have the opportunity also to speak regarding anything that the applicant would -- excuse 20 me, that opposition or support may have said. And at that 21 22 point, the hearing is done and the Board will then do one of 23 four things. We will either approve the application, deny the 24 application, we may reserve the application or continue the 25 application. If we reserve the application, we have all the 26 information that we need and there's nothing else that we are

1 Proceedings
2 looking for and the Board may reserve

looking for and the Board may reserve, because we want to go 3 back and look at, you know, at property again, someone may have 4 submitted a paper, you know, to us that we would like to have 5 the opportunity to review. If we continue the application, 6 that could be also for any number of reasons. We may offer, 7 you know, some kind of a compromise to the -- to the applicant. 8 We may ask them to submit a document that they spoke about that 9 they didn't have, you know, with them today. Whether that be a 10 closing paper or a survey that's later than -- than what we 11 have now. So that would be -- that would be the four things. 12 There are cases that we may decide directly today from the 13 podium, but if we reserve or continue, you know, a case, the 14 Board -- the Board has approximately two months to -- to come 15 forward with those decisions. We try our best not to go that 16 long, but, you know, sometimes there, you know, there -- there 17 are reasons why. All deliberation that we do is done in public. You don't have to come back here, we are televised and 18 19 so you can, you know, from, you know, sitting on your living room couch or at your desk, you know, hear everything that the 20 21 Board says. And there is no opportunity though to ask 22 questions, you know, at that point, you know, it is strictly --23 strictly the Board's deliberation that we -- that we have. 24 I think with that said, do we have any modifications, 25 Ms. Wagner?

SECRETARY WAGNER: Yes, Chairman. We have an

- 1 Appeal #21520
- 2 adjournment of Appeal Number 21522, Zahid Khan (John Doko); 162
- 3 Cow Neck Road in Port Washington, Section 4, Block 95, Lot 71
- 4 in the Residence-B Zoning District. Variance from
- 5 70-100.2(A)(2), to legalize fencing in the front yard. That's
- 6 adjourned to April 17th.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. So if anybody is here for
- 8 that case today, that will not be heard today but you would be
- 9 re-noticed, I think by letter is it, Ginny.
- 10 SECRETARY WAGNER: Yes. By regular mail.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: By regular mail not by certified
- 12 mail. Thank you. So with that said, do you to call the first
- 13 case, please.
- 14 SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21520, John Kekllas; 8
- 15 Deepdale Drive in Manhasset; Section 3, Block 34, Lot 19 in the
- Residence-A Zoning District. Variance from 70-100.2(A)(2), to
- 17 legalize a wood fence and gate and a masonry fence in a front
- 18 yard.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21520,
- John Kekllas and I apologize if I'm not pronouncing that
- 21 correctly.
- MR. KEKLLAS: No, that's correct.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. Good. I have a tough last
- name also. Is there anyone in the room who wishes to speak
- 25 regarding the application, other than the applicant? Seeing no
- one. So please give your name and address.

- 1 Appeal #21520
- MR. KEKLLAS: Yes. John Kekllas, 8 Deepdale Drive,
- 3 Manhasset, New York 11030.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Good morning, sir and microphone is
- 5 yours.
- 6 MR. KEKLLAS: Okay. I inherited this house back in
- 7 2017. It's the house I grew up in. This brick wall, fence,
- 8 whatever you want to call it has been up as far as I can
- 9 remember. I'd like to bring your attention to an interim
- office memo from the Superintendent of Highways. There was
- 11 concern whether this interfered in anyway with Northern
- 12 Boulevard and he basically said no, he has no problems with it
- and he feels the structure dates back to the original
- 14 development. I have some Google -- I've uploaded some things.
- 15 I mean you can see it's been there for decades and as he states
- in his letter that its been there for decades.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: When you say you uploaded some
- 18 things, is there anything you'd like to give to the Board?
- MR. KEKLLAS: I think I e-mailed them.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yes. We have a good amount.
- 21 MR. KEKLLAS: I mean, I have a picture of my birthday
- 22 when I was seven and it's still there.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: As you say to people, do you have,
- like, a communion picture, a Bat Mitzvah one, you know,
- 25 something like that.
- 26 MEMBER GOODSELL: How high is this brick wall?

- 1 Appeal #21520
- 2 MR. KEKLLAS: At certain points I believe five feet,
- 3 some a little more, you know, but it's on a grade so there's
- 4 some high points.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yeah. I did notice the grade when I
- 6 went to see it. I mean here -- here it says eight feet but it
- 7 varies.
- 8 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: The street drops.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yeah, as the street drops. The --
- 10 I'm familiar with highway department, because, you know, where
- 11 I live there are no sidewalks.
- 12 MR. KEKLLAS: Right.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And if you plant, you know, out in
- 14 the right of way, it's just made clear that if the snow plow
- 15 comes and knocks everything down, they're not responsible for
- 16 it. But I'm not dismissing, you know, the fact what you have
- 17 there but they are not there to make a judgment regarding that,
- 18 but certainly, you know, regarding the amount of time, you
- 19 know, that -- that it's been there.
- 20 MR. KEKLLAS: Like I said, I inherited it in 2017 and
- 21 I'm just trying to legalize everything.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yeah. And I quess just me and
- 23 certainly other members can speak, it's not something that we
- 24 would typically grant. But we do get applications where it's
- 25 documentable that things have been there for a long time and
- 26 may have even predated the zoning. So it's not, you know, it's

2 not dismissed as a matter of hand.

MEMBER DONATELLI: I would just note for the record and when I passed by the property, even though this fence is on your property, it appears to be more of a gateway to the entire community as oppose to just a fence that contains one house. We all know that Northern Boulevard is really heavily trafficked and this, in my opinion, serves as kind of a buffer to prevent some of the hubbub of Northern Boulevard from really coming into the community. So -- so as -- it's not so much even though it is a fence on the applicant's yard, it's more of a gate for the community then it is pertaining to one particular house. That's the way -- that was my impression.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I think we all feel the same way about fences in the front yard. We don't allow them and we try to discourage them as much as possible, but if there is a house that probably could justify a fence on the side yard, which is technically the front yard because you're the corner, it's a house like this. This is a street that draws a lot of traffic, fast traffic coming in from Northern Boulevard right into the side yard of the house. I happen to live in the community behind you up there so I have gone through this gate hundreds and hundreds if not thousands of times and were it not there, it would be even dangerous that some cars would make the turn and potentially end up in your side yard only because it is a high turn and a very circular street. That is a circle. So,

| 1 | Appeal #21520 |
|----|--|
| 2 | you know, certainly enough in this house to warrant having a |
| 3 | side fence, a side yard fence. Coupled with the fact that it' |
| 4 | probably been there for let's say 45, 50 years. Most likely |
| 5 | predates the fence code. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: One other thing that we we do |
| 7 | consider on corner properties and again, corner property |
| 8 | doesn't automatically mean that something automatically is |
| 9 | approved. But many times those corner properties were first |
| 10 | houses that the developer built so that people come and see |
| 11 | them and they wanted to show that nice big front lawn and you |
| 12 | end up with no real usable space, you know, for the outdoor |
| 13 | barbecue, you know, or the family party, you know. So coming |
| 14 | out into that front yard, I would say in this instance, only |
| 15 | because it's been there, you know, for that amount of time, yo |
| 16 | know, that that is kind of the case of what you have here. |
| 17 | It's a busy intersection there and yes, it does create |
| 18 | aesthetically something very nice, we're not an aesthetic |
| 19 | board. So we can think something is beautiful, but that can't |
| 20 | sway what we, you know, what we think about it. |
| 21 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Mr. Chairman, given the discussion |
| 22 | that we've had, I move that we grant the application. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We have a motion from Member |
| 24 | Hernandez. Do you have a second? |
| 25 | MEMBER DONATELLI: Seconded. |

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Seconded by Member Donatelli. Ms.

- 1 Appeal #21520 2 Wagner, please poll the Board. 3 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell? 4 MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye. 5 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez? 6 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye. 7 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli? 8 MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis? 9 10 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. 11 SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina? 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Aye. So the application is granted. 13 MR. KEKLLAS: I appreciate it. Thank you for your 14 time. 15 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you. MEMBER GOODSELL: The headlights coming around that 16 17 corner must be as annoying as anything, despite the fact that there is a fence and a lot of greenery there. You must get you 18 19 get every single headlight that comes in. 20 MR. KEKLLAS: Because of the wall there, I've never 21 experienced it so. But it does act as a barrier and I 22 appreciate you granting this and again, thank you for your 23 time. Do I get to leave now? 24 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yes. No. No. You have to stay 25 here.
- MR. KEKLLAS: Thank you very much.

- 1 Appeal #21521 2 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you so much. Pleasure. 3 Please call the next case. SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21521, Benjamin Wang; 4 5 38 South Drive in Manhasset; Section 3, Block 91, Lot 5 in the Residence-B Zoning District. Variances from 22-4 and 6 7 70-101.1(A), to legalize a fire pit located too close to a 8 house and to legalize a fire pit and outdoor kitchen located 9 within a side yard, which is not permitted. 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21521, 11 Benjamin Wang. Is there anyone in the room interested in the application other than the applicant? Seeing no one. Please 12 13 give your name and address, Mr. Wang. 14 MR. WANG: Benjamin Wang, 38 South Drive, Manhasset, New York 11030. 15 16 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Good morning. 17 MR. WANG: Good morning. Thank you for your time. 18 patio work a couple of years ago. Went through the proper 19 channels, applied for the permits, had drawings and in the 20
- I went through a renovation in my property and it's landscaping patio work a couple of years ago. Went through the proper channels, applied for the permits, had drawings and in the drawings we got approved for a barbecue grill and the original drawings, I can see that it did not have the fire pit. That was either missed, or I missed it, or my contractor who submitted the drawings missed it, but the fire pit was eventually built. When the final inspection was being done, the inspector noticed that the fire pit was there but it was

- 2 not on the original drawings. So I submitted amended drawings
- 3 from an architect that included the fire pit and it was made
- 4 aware to me that the fire pit location was out of code. So the
- 5 plan examiner requested that I can request a variance or
- 6 petition with the Department of State, which I did and that was
- 7 granted and in addition, to request an appeal as I'm standing
- 8 here today with the BZA to see if that would be okay as well.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. So I guess for myself as an
- 11 architect, I've made applications to the Department of State
- and I understand the building department's reasoning on it, but
- 13 at this point, that's been approved by the Department of State,
- has that been given to the building department so that they've
- 15 seen that?
- MR. WANG: Yes. I submitted it to the plan examiner,
- 17 but the plan examiner told me that I needed both Department of
- 18 State approval and a BZA approval.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. The building department,
- again, just so everybody understands, is an independent body of
- 21 the Zoning Board and we're here, because they're going to
- 22 submit a reason for denial. We come here and sometimes the
- 23 case just might be that someone is challenging the building
- department. So we would be that body that does that and just
- 25 because it comes from the town's building department, it comes
- here.

1 Appeal #21521 2 MR. WANG: Yeah. 3 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: It doesn't necessarily mean that we agree. Most of us have been sitting here for a really long 4 5 So I don't know, my feeling is is that if we have the 6 Department of State approval for that, because it's a fire safety issue. 7 8 MR. WANG: Yeah. MEMBER GOODSELL: Obviously you don't want your house 9 10 going up in flames when the fire pit gets out of control. 11 MR. WANG: Yeah, 100 percent. 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And that's a building department 13 issue, but the Department of State is there to do that test of 14 the balances. So from my perspective --15 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I'm looking -- I can't find how far 16 is the kitchen supposed to be? 17 MEMBER DONATELLI: So the kitchen is in the side yard. So do you want to -- do you want to talk a little bit about 18 19 your swimming pool in the back of the house and why you need to have a kitchen -- where the outdoor kitchen is located? 20 21 MR. WANG: Sure. So we actually brought this property 22 in April of 2021. When we bought the property there was a 23 preexisting swimming pool. In -- I forget what year, but it 24 was that year where we had the really bad storm and that swimming pool actually -- it's a liner swimming pool and when 25 26 we bought it, the liner actually ripped and we never got a

- 2 chance to replace it and so when we had that really bad storm,
- 3 the entire swimming pool collapsed and then so I had to go
- 4 through the proper channels again to rebuild the swimming pool.
- 5 So that swimming pool is new, but it was built in the exact
- 6 same location as the previous swimming pool and so as I was
- 7 redoing the swimming pool, I, you know, was just redoing the
- 8 backyard. I wanted to build, like, an outdoor and that was the
- 9 only logical location to have an outdoor kitchen. I wasn't
- 10 aware of the side yard and backyard. To be honest, I don't
- 11 know what constitutes as a side yard verses a backyard. To me
- it's all a backyard, but I understand there is probably, you
- 13 know, legal definitions of that.
- 14 MEMBER GOODSELL: If you weren't a corner property,
- 15 you'd be correct.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And that has to do with a corner
- 17 property and many people don't realize that nuance is that when
- 18 you're a corner property, the building department has to decide
- 19 where your rear yard is and where your side yard is.
- MR. WANG: I see.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And in the Town of North Hempstead
- 22 and in other municipalities that I file applications in, the
- 23 shorter is the front yard and behind it is the -- is then the
- rear yard and the other one becomes the side yard. So in your
- 25 case, where you have a hundred feet on Wood Place and you have
- 26 120 feet -- yeah, you have 120 feet, okay, on South, that then

- 1 Appeal #21521
- becomes the -- the side yard.
- 3 MR. WANG: Interesting.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: When really for that corner
- 5 property, it's usually they're used in the way that they're
- 6 used and not unlike the previous application where corner lots
- 7 can end up with de minimus rear and side yards for recreation
- 8 reasons and in this case you have a good amount of space
- 9 anyway.
- MR. WANG: Yeah.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So that outdoor kitchen by the
- 12 zoning becomes too close to that side yard.
- MR. WANG: Understood. It's actually funny because
- it's counterintuitive, because South Drive is where my front
- door is and you would think the front of my house and then that
- 16 would be the rear, but in this case it's not.
- 17 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Right. It's not the front door,
- 18 it's the size of your property. So technically your house was
- 19 built sideways.
- 20 MR. WANG: Got it. Yeah. They should have probably
- 21 turned it 90 degrees or something.
- MEMBER HERNANDEZ: They build it the best way they feel
- 23 they could get the space in, but that's not how the law reads.
- MR. WANG: Yeah, got it. Understood.
- 25 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Now although that you have a greater
- space on what is considered your side yard. Okay. Where you

- 1 Appeal #21521
- 2 have your kitchen.
- 3 MR. WANG: Yeah.
- 4 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: And then smaller space where your
- 5 pool is, technically your pool is in your backyard, which is
- 6 why they allowed you to put it there, but your kitchen is not.
- 7 MR. WANG: Got it. I see. Understood.
- 8 MEMBER GOODSELL: I'm sorry, Jay. Can you tell me a
- 9 little bit about your kitchen, because I see it marked on the
- 10 plans, but give me the size, give me the dimensions and tell me
- 11 what you're putting in.
- 12 MR. WANG: So it's already there. The kitchen just
- 13 comprises of a grill, I forget the size. I want to say it's
- 14 about 50 something inches and then there's a burner, like a
- 15 side burner on the side and that's it. There's no
- 16 refrigerator, there's no sink. It's kind of flush with, you
- 17 know, a granite counter top and stone paper construction.
- 18 MEMBER GOODSELL: And is it gas?
- MR. WANG: It is hooked up to gas, correct. And
- 20 there's you know, proper venting and things like that. So it
- is hooked up to my gas line.
- 22 MEMBER GOODSELL: And the fire pit, is that a gas fire
- pit or wood burning?
- MR. WANG: That is a wood burning fire pit.
- 25 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I have a very important question
- 26 regarding the barbecue.

- 1 Appeal #21521
- 2 MR. WANG: Sure.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Because I have one like that. Have
- 4 you ever used that little burner, that side burner?
- 5 MR. WANG: I have not yet.
- 6 SECRETARY WAGNER: On the side of the barbecue? I use
- 7 it all the time.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Your gas is hooked up to the house.
- 9 Mine it is not.
- 10 MR. WANG: It's propane, right?
- 11 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yeah.
- MR. WANG: It's gonna use it up fast. I fortunately
- have the luxury so, you know, I only use it for cooking.
- 14 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: So although it's technically an
- outdoor kitchen, it's really more just a barbecue?
- MR. WANG: Yes, correct.
- 17 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Very well. I'd like to put on the
- 18 record that there is one neighbor that had an objection to it.
- MR. WANG: Okay.
- 20 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: It is the neighbor that is
- 21 diagonally behind you.
- MR. WANG: Okay.
- 23 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: And her complaint was primarily
- 24 because of what you mentioned, which was the leak from your
- pool.
- MR. WANG: Oh, okay.

| 1 | Appeal #21521 | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Basically that they already had an | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | incident because of something that happened with your pool. | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | This kitchen, although it is in your side yard, it is about as | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | far away from that particular house as it can be. So this | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | particular request for a variance would have, of the neighbor's | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | part, least impact on her or him. I don't know who it was, a | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | male or female. So although technically it is on the side | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | yard, it is the functional backyard of the house. So unless | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | anyone has any other comment, I move that we grant the | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | application for the fire pit, because it was already granted by | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | the state and on our own for the kitchen in the side yard. | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So we have a motion from Member | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Hernandez. Do we have a second? | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | MEMBER GOODSELL: I'll second. | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Second from Member Goodsell and, | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Ms. Wagner, please poll the Board. | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell? | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye. | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez? | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye. | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli? | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis? | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina? | | | | | | | | |

1 Appeal #21523 2 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Aye. Application is granted. 3 MR. WANG: Thank you so much. (A discussion was held off the record.) 4 5 SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21523, Farley Kamhi; 135 Park Avenue in Carle Place; Section 9, Block 467, Lot 33 in 6 7 the Residence-B Zoning District. Variances from 70-100.2(M), 8 70-100.2(A)(2) and 70-100.2(A)(4), to construct and to legalize 9 fencing that is too tall and located within in a front yard and 10 to legalize an arbor that is too tall and too wide and located 11 within a front yard. 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21523, 13 Farley Kamhi. Is there anyone in the room interested in the 14 application other than the applicant? Seeing no one. Please 15 give your name and address. MR. TEUFEL: John Teufel, that's, T-E-U-F-E-L, 16 17 professional engineer at 707 Route 110, Farmingdale, New York. CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Back up just a little bit from the 18 19 microphone. In the music industry, Taylor Swift and I've been together a long time. You're eating the microphone so just 20 21 back up a little bit. MR. TEUFEL: Okay. Thanks. Oh, I see. 22 23 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Much better. 24 MR. TEUFEL: Yeah. So I'm here with Mr. Farley Kamhi, the owner of 135 Park Avenue, Carle Place. We're seeking 25 26 variances to maintain and move a four foot high slatted chain

1 Appeal #21523 2 link fence along Midtown Road southerly. In the southerly 3 direction. Move it one feet onto his property. Also maintain a 34.8 foot long portion of the same four foot high slatted 5 chain link fence along Park Avenue and maintain a slightly oversized arbor in that front yard of this corner property. 6 7 He's also seeking to maintain the same style four foot high 8 slatted chain link fence which extends 3.6 feet in the front of the affective building line on the south side of the property 9 10 and runs in the east west direction. We'd also like to note 11 that although originally a six foot tall fence was requested, 12 we are actually now just seeking to maintain the four foot high 13 slatted chain link fence that's been there for over 20 years. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I'm sorry, did you say the fence has been there for over 20 years? 15 16 MR. TEUFEL: Yes. Correct. The zone is Residence-B, 17 where arbors are required to be a maximum of eight feet in height and we're requesting 8.75 for this existing arbor, which 18 19 is in excellent condition and it has a width of 5.31 feet, where five feet is the maximum permitted by ordnance. The 20 21 arbor is about three feet from the main house and was installed about five years ago. And as I said, it's in excellent 22 23 condition. The fence is, again, in very good condition. Like 24 we said over 20 years. It's been there over 20 years and its had no complaints from the neighbors about the fence. It's 25

actually used for his dog. When -- when Mr. Kamhi's children

| 1 | | | Appeal | | | #21523 | | |
|---|------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------|--------|--|--|
| 2 | | +ba+ ! a | hon | h o | ~ · · · + | : + | | |

- were young, that's when he put it up for a play area for the
- 3 kids. Now he uses it for their Maltese dog as a dog run and
- 4 that basically completes our case. So any questions?
- 5 MEMBER DONATELLI: Sorry to interrupt. I do have a
- 6 question. The Town of North Hempstead in the mid 90s. Do you
- 7 have any proof that the fence was in existence prior to the
- 8 enactment of the code?
- 9 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Maybe a survey?
- 10 MR. TEUFEL: I don't have any --
- 11 MEMBER GOODSELL: A communion picture from somebody's
- 12 communion party or anything?
- 13 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Sir, you have to just put yourself
- 14 onto the record.
- MR. KAMHI: I can certainly go into the archives and
- 16 find some pictures from the --
- 17 MEMBER GOODSELL: Tell us you name and address.
- 18 MR. KAMHI: Oh, my name is Farley Kamhi, 135 Park
- 19 Avenue, Carl Place, New York.
- MEMBER GOODSELL: You're the owner?
- MR. KAMHI: I am the owner, yes.
- 22 MEMBER GOODSELL: And when did you buy the property.
- MR. KAMHI: About 30 years ago.
- 24 MEMBER GOODSELL: So the fence was not there when you
- 25 bought the property?
- 26 MR. KAMHI: The fence was not there when we bought the

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 property, no.
- 3 MEMBER GOODSELL: We've had a fence, as the Chairman
- 4 mentioned, we have had fence requirements since '96.
- 5 MEMBER DONATELLI: Do you recall approximately when you
- 6 installed the fence?
- 7 MR. KAMHI: My son was born '94 so I'm gonna say it was
- 8 in '95.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Did you install the fence
- 10 yourself?
- 11 MR. KAMHI: No. A gentleman that -- that did a lot of
- 12 fences in the area did it.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Did he have a fencing company?
- 14 MR. KAMHI: I think he did it as a side job.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah. It would be helpful if
- 16 you had some photographic evidence with regard to the year that
- 17 the fence was put up.
- 18 MEMBER GOODSELL: No one would save a receipt unless
- 19 you're family is very good record keepers.
- 20 MR. KAMHI: My kids do complain about the records I
- 21 keep, but no, I don't have a receipt from, you know, '94.
- 22 MEMBER GOODSELL: Mr. Francis is correct, because if
- 23 you predated the code, then we would permit you to place -- you
- 24 know, visually we can't tell how old the fence is. We can tell
- 25 it wasn't put up this year, but I looked at it and I haven't
- seen one go up like this in a very long time. Although, my

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 neighbor had one and they ran the aluminum slats through and
- 3 eventually it became broken and chipped.
- 4 MR. KAMHI: The prior owner did have aluminum slats on
- 5 the other side. We didn't like the look of aluminum so we went
- 6 with the plastic slats. You know, I power wash it every year
- 7 to keep it in good shape.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Did you say that your -- that your
- 9 oldest was born?
- 10 MR. KAMHI: No. No. My youngest. Steven was born in
- 11 '87 and Matt was born in '94.
- 12 MEMBER DONATELLI: Well, if -- if you installed
- it in 1995, then you would predate the code. So I guess my
- 14 question is, is there any -- anything that you can give us,
- 15 whether it's an affidavit from a neighbor, or a photograph, or
- something. We're trying to help you here.
- 17 MEMBER GOODSELL: An old survey.
- MR. KAMHI: No. The survey is new and that's what
- 19 caught this.
- 20 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: If you have any photographs of a
- 21 barbecue in the backyard. This is your backyard, right?
- 22 MR. KAMHI: Well, no it's actually, you know, the
- 23 discussion about corner lots and things. I guess technically
- 24 it's my second front yard.
- 25 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Yes, it is. But you use it
- functionally as your backyard.

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 MR. KAMHI: We had graduation parties with tents up
- 3 there, yes.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So just so you know, at it
- 5 stands right now, this is not something that we can grant.
- 6 It's in violation of the town code in terms of the fencing law.
- 7 So to the extent that you can convince us with documentary
- 8 evidence that this fence predates the code, that would help
- 9 your situation.
- 10 MR. KAMHI: I can probably ask my neighbor if he can
- 11 sign a letter.
- 12 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: And if you have photographs from a
- graduation party or anything.
- 14 MR. KAMHI: Well, the graduation parties thought
- 15 wouldn't be from that -- I mean the kids were little at the
- 16 time.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: As one Board member, your
- 18 neighbors affidavit really is not going to per sway me, because
- 19 I can get my neighbor to sign off on anything. We're good
- 20 neighbors. So that doesn't really -- that's not going to move
- 21 me. I need something that shows definitively that this fence
- 22 was in existence in 1995.
- MR. KAMHI: I can look in old pictures and see.
- 24 Certainly the kids played there so there may be there are
- 25 pictures.
- 26 MEMBER GOODSELL: Because if you had come to the

- 1 Appeal #21523
 2 building department after 1997, they
- building department after 1997, they would have denied it. You
- 3 would have gotten a permit, you would still need a variance,
- 4 but the Board might not have given the size of the yard. They
- 5 might not have given you all the way out to the sidewalk. So
- it would be important for you to dig deep, if possible.
- 7 MR. KAMHI: No, we did say we would move it in the foot
- 8 to get it off the lawn. We recognize we blew it there so.
- 9 MEMBER DONATELLI: Let me just add as one Board member,
- 10 I personally, with respect to the arbor being too tall, it's
- 11 .75 of a foot over and the width is .31 feet over. I -- I'm
- 12 less troubled by that.
- 13 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Agreed.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Agreed.
- 15 MEMBER DONATELLI: Arbors tend to be big. But the
- 16 fence, I would really ask if --
- 17 MR. KAMHI: Let me ask my wife to look through the
- 18 pictures.
- 19 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: The fence is really substantial
- 20 -- would require a substantial variance. It's not something
- 21 that we normally do, unless you prove to us that there's
- 22 something unique about this fence. I don't know if we want to
- 23 run through the five factors now. Well, we probably should.
- MEMBER GOODSELL: We probably should. We probably
- 25 should.
- 26 MR. TEUFEL: I'm familiar with those factors. I'll go

1 Appeal #21523 2 over them. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah. Yeah. So why 4 don't you do that now? 5 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I'm just curious what brings you here today, was there a complaint from the neighbor? 6 MR. KAMHI: No. We had done construction and when we 7 8 went to get the first permit, they said by the way you have old permits and one of them required that we get a survey of the 9 10 property. When they did the survey of the property, they said, 11 oh, by the way, you're fence is over the line. 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yup. Got it. Okay. That's fine. 13 MR. KAMHI: It was kind of like a snowball affect here. 14 MEMBER GOODSELL: The general rule of thumb, which I'm 15 sure your attorney can tell you is, if it was legal when it 16 went it, then it's grandfathered in and it remains the code. If it was not legal when it went it, I don't care if 100 years 17 passed, it's not grandfathered in. That is by the way, the 18 19 biggest arbor I've ever seen. 20 MR. KAMHI: You know, it was a kit, you know, that's 21 the way it came. MEMBER GOODSELL: Are you training roses to grow? 22 23 MR. KAMHI: No. The problem is, that's a northeast

corner and that's why my wife wanted the arbor. She wanted

nice, you know, pretty flowers over it. I've spent hundred of

dollars on different plantings and they all die, because the

24

25

- 2 neighbor -- I guess if you have picture of it, you can see
- 3 there's a big bush to the left. Too much shade. I mean I've
- 4 tried rose bushes, I've tried special flowering bushes that are
- 5 supposed to be good in the shade, nothing takes and every year
- 6 my sons says well what are you gonna try this year, you know.
- 7 MEMBER GOODSELL: Tell your son when you do find
- 8 something that works, you have to walk through the bees that
- 9 will eventually come and that's not fun either so it's very
- 10 pretty. I did notice the arbor, because it is the tallest
- 11 arbor I've ever seen on a residential property. Sorry.
- MR. KAMHI: Again, that's what they installed. It --
- 13 you should see nice picture from the Christmas card when I have
- it lit up. It's very nice.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Let's do the five factor.
- MEMBER GOODSELL: Run through the five factors.
- 17 MR. TEUFEL: Yes. I think I saw them right over here.
- 18 One, undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or
- 19 a detriment to the nearby properties. Well, as we discussed,
- 20 Mr. Kamhi has discussed it with his neighbors. They don't have
- 21 any problem with it. I think corner properties it's common
- that people put fences, you know, even though it doesn't comply
- 23 with the code at any time, it's on a corner property and just
- 24 because you have that whole thing with the backyard being so
- 25 small.
- 26 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Does it obstruct in any way

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 with regard to the traffic flow?
- 3 MR. TEUFEL: No, absolutely not. It's only, like, four
- 4 feet and it's 20 feet back from -- from Parkwood and -- and --
- 5 and -- and -- yeah. It's about 20 feet at least from the
- 6 corner. So I don't think there's any issue.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay.
- 8 MR. TEUFEL: You know, with the site lines. Whether
- 9 the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by a
- 10 feasible alternative. The -- the fence is there so, you know,
- I guess it's an existing situation.
- 12 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Well, not to cut you off, I
- just want to make sure that the record is clear.
- MR. TEUFEL: Sure.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: In terms of alternatives, an
- 16 alternative would be to plant arborvitaes or evergreen
- 17 plantings, something to that nature. Why couldn't that be
- 18 done?
- MR. KAMHI: Well, that wouldn't work for a dog.
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Move over.
- 21 MR. KAMHI: Sorry. An arborvitae or a planting isn't
- 22 going to keep a dog in the yard.
- 23 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay. But --
- 24 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We'll admit that in my house. Okay.
- 25 I cheated it, but my arborvitae are 12 feet high and I did --
- they sell, like, rabbit fences and they are very small, maybe

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 like a 12 gauge wire and I just pushed them into the
- 3 arborvitae. Otherwise, I understand what you're saying.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: And quite frankly, the Chairman
- 5 and I both own dogs. But the fence ordinance has nothing to do
- 6 with dogs. So whether you have a dog or you don't have a dog,
- you don't get a variance because you have a dog. Okay. Please
- 8 continue.
- 9 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: And the other corner properties in
- 10 your immediate neighbor, none of them have these fences to the
- 11 side.
- MR. KAMHI: Oh, no, that's not true.
- MEMBER GOODSELL: I did notice one about 10 houses
- away.
- 15 MR. KAMHI: I was going to say actually both corners
- from my house, both of them one is oriented in the same
- 17 direction but it's the opposite corner and one of them is the
- 18 same direction and they both have -- they actually have six
- 19 foot PVC fences.
- 20 MEMBER GOODSELL: So question, are they legal?
- MR. KAMHI: I have no idea.
- 22 MEMBER GOODSELL: Well, it behooves the applicant to
- 23 check the -- if you're going to use them as examples, you have
- 24 to check the building department records to see if they are in
- 25 fact legal, because if they are not, you can't use them.
- 26 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I would bet that they are not.

1 Appeal #21523 2 MEMBER GOODSELL: Yeah. Six foot --3 MR. KAMHI: I was just answering your question. CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: No. No. You answered fine. I 4 5 would say we're going to continue this and we continue to give 6 the benefit of doubt. You know, we all pay a ton of taxes, you 7 know, and we -- we're fair to everybody. MR. KAMHI: Sure. 8 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: But I look at it and I say, all 9 10 right, you know, the taxpayers are also the voters as well. 11 Not that they get a special consideration on something that of 12 course has impact and we are here to be the arborvitaes of what 13 the -- of what the building department denies. So photographs 14 of some other houses and arbors would complete your record. If 15 they have a permit or if they don't have a permit, you know, 16 that's easily investigated, you know, just because somebody 17 else puts up a fence without a permit, doesn't mean they are okay either and I have seen a couple of times where then 18 19 everybody starts turning each other in and it kind of takes 20 it's own. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah, why don't you finish with the five factors. 22 23 MR. TEUFEL: Whether the requested variance is 24 substantial. Well, I guess we would say it is only a four foot fence, which meets the code height wise, of course it is in the 25

26

front yard.

1 Appeal #21523 2 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: And the length of it is what? 3 MR. TEUFEL: I would say about 100 feet the total. Length 30, 30 and maybe -- yeah, about 100 feet. 4 5 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: All right. So that would be substantial. 6 7 MR. TEUFEL: Well, that is up to whatever the Board decides of course. Of course. Would the variance have an 8 adverse impact of the physical environmental conditions in the 9 10 neighborhood. Well, we don't feel, you know, that's the case. 11 I mean it doesn't affect drainage and the air can go through 12 it, you know. So I really don't think that that would be an 13 issue frankly and finally, whether the alleged difficulty was 14 self created. Well, you know, the fence was installed by a contractor. I think Mr. Kamhi relied on the contractor to meet 15 16 the town codes, which happens a lot and unfortunately the contractor didn't. So, you know, that's why we're here today. 17 MEMBER GOODSELL: If, in fact we're unable to -- your 18 19 client is unable to produce any kind of photograph or record that this fence predates the code --20 21 MR. TEUFEL: And that was 1995? I'm sorry, ma'am. MEMBER GOODSELL: Did he indicate that he was going to 22 move it back. It's 1.3 feet too far to the side. 23 24 MR. TEUFEL: Yeah. Absolutely. Yeah. On the north side along Midtown. Yeah. The fence is over the property. 25

Absolutely it's going to be moved back the one foot.

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 MEMBER GOODSELL: Visually it doesn't look like it
- 3 because it's up to the sidewalk, but according to the survey it
- 4 does encroach.
- 5 MR. TEUFEL: Sure. And we know that happens all the
- 6 time.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I'm not saying it's a magic trick,
- 8 but instead of pulling it back one foot, would you consider
- 9 pulling it back five feet and then plant evergreen in front of
- 10 that and the part of the fence that faces Park Avenue?
- 11 MR. KAMHI: Fine by me. I'd have to talk to the wife,
- 12 but yeah.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I always have to talk to the wife.
- MR. KAMHI: You know how that is.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You know I make all the decisions.
- MR. TEUFEL: We know that, Mr. Mammina.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: What suit and tie am I wearing
- 18 today. No, I pick all my own clothes.
- 19 MEMBER GOODSELL: Let's see if your client can come up
- with some sort of photograph.
- MR. KAMHI: I'll ask my wife. She's the keeper of the
- 22 photographs.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And potentially the proposed
- 24 mitigation.
- 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: See if you can find some sort of
- 26 proof and if not, perhaps you can discuss with your wife a plan

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 B. The Town Board has decided it does not want fencing in
- front yards so that's really why you're here for this variance.
- 4 If we can find proof that the fence preexisted the code, then
- 5 that's one possible resolution. If not, perhaps there is
- 6 someway that we can make this work by pulling it back and by
- 7 mitigating it, as the Chairman said, by plantings in front of
- 8 it so at least it's not as obvious and visible as it.
- 9 MR. KAMHI: Would you want the plantings --
- 10 MEMBER DONATELLI: Street side.
- MR. KAMHI: Just on the Midtown side?
- 12 MEMBER DONATELLI: So that they cover the fence from
- 13 both streets, Park Avenue and Midtown.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Right. Right.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And normally something like that is
- four feet on center and it's not -- I'm not saying it doesn't
- 17 cost anything, you know. But, you know, if you find that when
- 18 it's done, most people will agree that yes, it does look
- 19 better. But -- and again, just and I know that Member
- 20 Donatelli said it, it's important to understand that the zoning
- 21 -- we don't create the zoning. Okay. We are here to decide --
- 22 we don't enforce to the building department. That's not --
- 23 that's not what we do either. We're here to do that balancing
- test, you see the scales of justice except we're not
- 25 blindfolded. But that's what we do so. And every municipality
- 26 in the State of New York is required to have a Zoning Board as

- 1 Appeal #21523
- 2 a way of, you know, protecting the residents. So I think --
- 3 MEMBER GOODSELL: We have one other issue they have not
- 4 addressed. The fencing around the arbor, according to the
- 5 plans submitted to the BZA is six foot and that is a side yard
- 6 fence and in fact, it looks like the top of the lattice is over
- 7 six foot. I can't quite read it, but it looks like it's eight.
- 8 That's a height requirement for a side yard.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That's actually the side yard, but
- 10 your point is still the same. Because you can't align -- it
- 11 has to align with the house, but the height is also too high.
- 12 It is six feet and I'll take you into my office and show you
- how frustrated I get with people drawing on the computer now
- and they don't really understand how to draw properly. So it
- is eight foot nine to the top.
- 16 MEMBER GOODSELL: It is a six foot to the top of the
- 17 fence.
- 18 MR. TEUFEL: Yeah. Six feet to the top. A very small
- 19 segment of the fence, if I might point that out. As you can
- see, it's really close to the property line there. The east
- 21 property line. So the total of a six foot fence there is
- 22 probably like maybe four feet or so. I didn't measure it, but
- it's a very small segment.
- MR. KAMHI: Yeah. That little segment fence, by the
- 25 way, is in front of a cellar staircase. So it just kind of
- 26 hides the cellar staircase.

1 Appeal #21523 2 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yeah, I see that. All right. So I think we will continue it. There's a little bit of homework, 3 you know, to do and I'm not saying it's the answer of the yes, 4 5 but if you're moving the fence any way, moving it back, you 6 know, a little bit further, you know, is -- is difference in 7 terms of -- of dollars and if you were to do that make, a trip 8 to Home Depot down Old Country Road, excuse me Jericho Turnpike and you can plant them. Okay. Then if there's no other 9 10 questions --11 MR. KAMHI: Sorry. Now I'm just a little confused on 12 what to do. Is it show pictures that it predates it or move 13 it? 14 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Nope. You present it however you 15 would like. I don't want to make us look overly important, but 16 we're in court now and, you know, you can present whatever you would like. My suggestion, you know, would be try to find the 17 18 pictures and in the alternative.

MEMBER GOODSELL: A picture of your son a sled in the snow in 1990 with the fence in the background. You know how your old children are and that we would accept your word for. So it doesn't have to be all three of them standing in front of the fence holding the date. Okay.

MR. TEUFEL: That would be photo shopped.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 MEMBER GOODSELL: Oh, in light of today's news and yesterdays news, photo shopping is now a bad word.

- 1 Appeal #21524 2 MR. TEUFEL: Well, it's always bad. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: All right. So we will continue that 4 5 and --6 SECRETARY WAGNER: You would submit that to the BZA department. Any evidence of the age of the fence and then we 7 8 forward that to the Board. 9 MR. KAMHI: Okay. All right. Thank you. 10 MR. TEUFEL: Thank you. Thanks. 11 SECRETARY WAGNER: Next appeal, Appeal Number 21524, Little Smiles; 110 Main Street, Port Washington; Section 5, 12 13 Block 81, Lot 4 in the Business-B and Residence-C Zoning 14 District. Conditional use 70-139.A (70-126.H), for commercial parking in a residence district and variances from 70-103.A, 15 70-103.M, 70-196.A, 70-196.J(1)(d) and 70-203.G, to construct 16 17 alterations to convert office space to medical office space with not enough parking on site, parking within a front yard, 18 19 too many wall signs on a wall, a wall sign that is in a right-of-way, the reduction of a required landscape buffer and 20 21 the addition of parking in a landscaped buffer. 22 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21524, 23 Little Smiles. Is there anyone in the room interested in the 24 application other than the applicant? Seeing -- seeing no one
- 26 MS. COSCHIGNANO: Good morning. How are you? It's

and good morning Ms. Coschignano.

1 Appeal #21524 2 still morning. Elisabetta Coschignano, Sahn, Ward, Braff, 3 Koblenz, Coschignano, 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale, New York 11553 for the applicant this morning, 110 Main Street 4 5 PW LLC, Pergament Properties regarding Little Smiles. With me 6 today I have Scott Pergament of Pergament Properties, Chris 7 Robinson, Civil Engineer with R and M Engineering, he will go 8 over the site plan and he and Cody can discuss traffic and parking also from R and M. As a matter of housekeeping, I have 9 10 some Green cards and envelops that came back. We mailed the 11 rest earlier this week. We also followed the town's 12 requirements regarding the sign posting and that has been 13 uploaded with the appropriate affidavits. The application was 14 heard at the Nassau County Planning Commission on February 29th, who issued local determination. We also determination a 15 16 memorandum of law. However, I brought hard copies for the Board because we inadvertently forgot to annex the exhibit. 17 I'm going to hand this up. I'm going to hand up all the 18 19 exhibits now this way I could get it out of the way. These are photos of the area and then this is just one copy of the prior 20 21 Board decision. SECRETARY WAGNER: Okay. So we'll make the memorandum 22 23 Exhibit 1 and the photographs Exhibit 2 and then the prior 24 decision will be Exhibit 3. MS. COSCHIGNANO: Thank you. The subject premises are 25

located at 110 Main Street, Port Washington and as you can see,

2 they are two separate parcels as shown on the site plan. 3 Parcel one consists of only parking and is known as Section 4, 4 Block 80, Lot 1 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map. Parcel 5 two is the main building and related parking and known as 6 Section 5, Block 81, Lot 4, 5, 7, 8, 101, 102, 106, 201 and 301 7 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map. And in total, they 8 comprise .96 acres. They're both located on the southerly side of Main Street each abutting Bellview Avenue and I'm sure as 9 10 the Board knows, they're located along the commercial corridor 11 with both properties being split zoned in the BB Business 12 District and the RC Residence District. And the frontage is in 13 the business district and the rear of both is residence --14 actually, parcel one is just a tiny corner of that parking lot 15 is in the Residence District and the rear of the southerly 16 portion of parcel two is mostly parking and that's what's in the Residence-C Zone. They are bordered on the west by the 17 former multi cinemas. Beyond that there is a registered motor 18 19 vehicle retail and various commercial uses to the west. To the east, again commercial and retail uses. There's a multifamily 20 21 building to the north, a museum and various dwellings which do 22 appear to be rental properties. The applicant purchased this 23 property in 2010. At that time it was used by JP Morgan Chase 24 as accessor to First Federal Loan and Savings. In fact, the first application for variances was granted in 1961 to First 25 26 Federal and at the time of purchase, it was used by Chase as a

| 2 | banking institution and then Sterling with a drive-thru, which |
|----|---|
| 3 | still exists today, but we are proposing to remove that |
| 4 | drive-thru as part of this application. Currently the bank is |
| 5 | no longer operating and there are medical and office uses in |
| 6 | the building. However, the applicant is here proposing to |
| 7 | convert some of their office space to accommodate Little |
| 8 | Smiles, which is a pediatric dentist. Premises received |
| 9 | various approvals over the years. In 1961, First Federal was |
| 10 | granted a variance to build a one story extension on the |
| 11 | premises at the rear exceeding lot coverage, rear yard variance |
| 12 | and elimination of a landscape buffer. At the time, they did |
| 13 | not have the back parcels which constitute the parking now. So |
| 14 | there was only a five yard setback, but the Board found that |
| 15 | there would be no practical purpose by not granting those |
| 16 | variances, that the development of the addition would not |
| 17 | interfere with any light and air for the neighboring buildings |
| 18 | and that the loss of parking was compensated by the acquisition |
| 19 | of the adjacent parcel. That being parcel one here for |
| 20 | accessory off street parking. It also appears at that time, |
| 21 | like I said, they had not acquired those southerly lots on |
| 22 | parcel two which consists of parking. So the Board's decision |
| 23 | in '61 basically says that Federal was busting at the seems and |
| 24 | needed additional space. So in 1977, First Federal went back, |
| 25 | they were granted variances for interior alterations to the |
| 26 | existing nonconforming building and in 1978, it appears they |

2 had acquired all those rear and side parcels and proposed 3 parking in the greater residential district and an accessory, 4 what they called in their decision drive in, as they called it 5 back then, which also extended into the residential. 6 variances were granted and then my client purchased in 2010 and 7 in 2016, our client was also here with an application to 8 convert office space to medical space within sufficient parking. At that time, 108 spaces were required and 59 were 9 10 provided. So at that time, the Board approved 59 spaces where 11 108 were required. Currently the bank is no longer operating 12 and there's no need for that existing ATM drive-thru structure. 13 So we are proposing to remove that entire structure to change 14 use for -- from office space to the dental space between -- and 15 currently between parcel one and parcel two, there are those 59 16 spaces. However, removing the ATM will allow them to reconfigure and add an additional seven spaces so now they will 17 18 have 66 spaces. So as you can see from the photos, the 19 property is in very good condition and removing that ATM will definitely improve the appearance and the variances we are 20 21 seeking are from section 70-103, 70-139 and 70-203, they all 22 related to parking and the conditional use permit to change the 23 The required parking for the current and proposed use at 24 the site is 117 spaces. The applicant would provide 66 and just to note, since they had 59 where 108 were required, the 25 26 parking increases by nine spaces but we're mitigating that by

| 2 | providing an additional seven spaces. So really between then |
|----|---|
| 3 | and now, we are just two spaces short of where we would be. |
| 4 | The change of use is very consistent with the prior variances. |
| 5 | The proposal adds one new parking space in the 25 foot setback |
| 6 | on the Residence-C portion of the premises and I know parking |
| 7 | already existed in the greater restricted district. As it |
| 8 | relates to parking, the landscape buffer will be decreased to |
| 9 | provide for the additional parking. However, the Board's |
| 10 | previous decision was granted under a previous version of the |
| 11 | code and permitted a no buffer zone. The nonconformity will |
| 12 | increase slightly but to provide for those additional parking |
| 13 | spaces. I'm going to call up Mr. Robinson in a few moments |
| 14 | from R and M who will go over the site plan details. The other |
| 15 | variance requests are from section 70-196 related to the |
| 16 | proposed sign, which I believe you have the photos of the |
| 17 | proposed sign. That sign is the second sign that will go on |
| 18 | this building and there will be no other signs in the future. |
| 19 | They expect there to be only two signs on the building. There |
| 20 | currently is one sign and this will be the second. It does |
| 21 | project three inches further into the main right of away beyond |
| 22 | the face of the preexisting nonconforming building and |
| 23 | currently is 3.2 feet into the right of way. So the building |
| 24 | will have a total of two signs. |
| 25 | At this point I'd like to call up Chris Robinson, |
| 26 | unless you have questions for me right now. |

1 Appeal #21524 2 MEMBER DONATELLI: Just one question that comes to mind 3 right now. Will the building be fully occupied once it's up and running? 4 5 MS. COSCHIGNANO: That's a good question. It will not. There is remaining space that they have not yet leased. They 6 7 would like to lease it but they have not yet leased that space. 8 What's happened in the last five years, it's become very 9 difficult to lease general office space. So this building is 10 tending towards the medical. They do have a tutoring service 11 there. So the tutoring service is called Private Prep. So 12 they have that, they have Northwell and currently they also 13 have Primer Heart, which is a cardiology group. So those are 14 the current uses and there might be space for one additional 15 user. They are, you know, one or two additional users I should 16 say. They are looking but, you know, nothing is finalized at 17 this time. MEMBER DONATELLI: So are you able -- if and when 18 19 Little Smiles comes in, are you able to estimate how much of the premises will be occupied by the existing tenant of Little 20 21 Smiles and how much of the premises will still remain? MS. COSCHIGNANO: Yes, and I'm sure Chris Robinson has 22 23 all the details on that as well. 24 MEMBER DONATELLI: Okay. That's great. 25 MR. ROBINSON: I'll answer your questions first. Chris

Robinson, by the way President of R and M Engineering, 50 Elm

- 1 Appeal #21524
- 2 Street, Huntington, New York. The balance of the bank space is
- 3 1,750 feet which is vacant and there's another, I think 1,300
- 4 feet in the balance of the building.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: 3,000 feet.
- 6 MR. ROBINSON: Roughly, yes. The main application
- 7 today will be the removal of part of the southerly buffer to
- 8 create parking. I don't know if you can hear me well, I'm sure
- 9 I can step over here.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yup.
- 11 MR. ROBINSON: We have a drive-thru building, which is
- 12 roughly 5.3 feet off the south property line here in the
- 13 southwest corner and there's currently a drive lane to que up
- 14 to that building to the ATM window, which varies anywhere from
- 15 10 to 16 feet off the southerly property line. Our proposal is
- 16 to take that building down and to add -- put a consist curb
- 17 line at seven feet off the rear property line and then augment
- 18 that buffer area with new deciduous trees and evergreens to
- 19 create a screen along the southerly --
- 20 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That's like 25 feet just the drive
- 21 aisles alone.
- MR. ROBINSON: Yeah. The drive aisle.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And the bypass.
- MR. ROBINSON: And the bypass. Exactly. Yeah. It's
- on the easterly end of it it's 10 feet off the property line,
- as it approaches the building, the buffer widens up to 16 to

- 2 line up with the lane.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yup.
- 4 MR. ROBINSON: I think Elisabetta submitted some
- 5 photographs. I do have a series here of the buffer area in the
- 6 back. I'll point out the buffer area is pretty much devoid
- 7 vegetation, other than some substantial trees. It's just mulch
- 8 between the curb and the fence line. We're going to remove
- 9 some of those trees which would be impacted by the curb line
- 10 but then augment it all by adding in evergreen and deciduous
- 11 buffer in that location. Also, the current curb line that
- 12 divides that parking from the drive-thru lane, this area right
- in here shown on the picture, right now is only a six inch
- 14 curb. So there's no buffer in there. We're going to add a
- 15 curb line on the south side of it to create a landscape buffer
- there and in between we'll also put some planting in to try to
- 17 stagger it and make up some of the loss of the buffer to the
- 18 south. Again, we're increasing our parking demand by nine
- 19 spaces, increasing our provided parking by seven. I think it's
- an overall improvement to the building and to the property. My
- 21 associate Keyan Cody will talk a little bit the parking
- 22 analysis that we've done and I'd be happy to answer any
- 23 questions the Board might have relative to the site.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Any questions?
- 25 MEMBER GOODSELL: No questions.
- 26 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you, Mr. Robinson. Appreciate

- 2 it.
- 3 MR. CODY: Good morning, Chairman and Members of the
- 4 Board, Keyan Cody, Robinson and Muller Engineering, 50 Elm
- 5 Street in Huntington, New York. I'd just like to go through --
- 6 we submitted a parking analysis dated February 12, 2024 that
- 7 I'd just like to run through with you guys.
- 8 As it's been stated, the applicant is seeking to occupy
- 9 that 2,500 square feet of space in the building with a dental
- 10 office. The required parking for the site is 117 stalls where
- 11 we are providing 66, thus we have a variance for 51 stalls
- 12 which we are requesting.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Just a tiny bit slower. Thank you.
- 14 MR. CODY: We performed observations in late October of
- 15 2023. The observations were performed on Thursday the 26th and
- 16 Saturday the 28th. Both these days we observed the site and
- 17 the surrounding area between 10 a.m. and six p.m. and we
- 18 encompassed the on street in the area, the onsite parking in
- 19 the two lots and then the municipal parking lot five which is
- 20 across the street, which includes a portion of metered shopper
- 21 parking. Based off our observations for the total studied
- 22 area, we found that the overall peak was experienced at 2:30 on
- the weekday with 186 vehicles parked out of 296 total capacity
- leaving 110 spaces vacant. I'd like to mainly focus though on
- 25 the 66 provided spaces rather than the surrounding area.
- 26 That's just to bolster the scope of the analysis is to provide

2 extra context for parking if it's ever needed. First I'd like 3 to dive into how much parking the site would require with the occupancy of the 2,500 square feet with the dental space. 4 5 utilized industry standard data in the form of statics obtained 6 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the 7 organization which compiles data based off different survey 8 planning codes and creates parking and traffic rates to 9 determine estimated parking demands and estimated trip 10 generation demands. For this site we used language code 720, 11 which applies to medical and dental offices. It -- essentially 12 for the 2,500 square feet for a weekday, we'd expect about 2.63 13 vehicles per 1,000 square feet, which leads us to about seven 14 vehicles parked for that use in particular. On site, based off 15 of our observations, we observed 32 vehicles, you know, out of 16 the 66 future spots that would be parked. In addition, there is about 3,000 square feet of vacant space in the building just 17 18 to be conservative that it would be occupied with standard 19 office space, it's showing a little bit lower than a medical office, about half the space per 1,000 square feet lower or 20 21 half the vehicle of 1,000 square feet lower and estimating that 3,000 or so square feet leads to another six vehicles parked. 22 23 So if the building were to be fully occupied with a medical 24 office then a normal office we would expect about 13 more vehicles then what exists today during the weekday. Obviously 25 during the weekend we would expect it to be lower, because 26

1

2 offices tend to generate less on weekends. In total, the 32 3 vehicles plus the 13 brings us up to about 45 vehicles parked 4 out of the 66 spaces, which are going to be provided in the 5 future condition leaving us with a healthy, you know, about 20 6 spaces or so available in case it runs a little bit high. I'd 7 also like to point out across the street in municipal parking 8 lot 5, I think there's about 50 or 60 shopper parking spaces, 9 which you can, you know, park in these metered spaces if you 10 were coming in for an appointment and for whatever reason, 11 maybe the parking was a little bit too occupied, which I don't 12 think would actually happen. There are additional spaces. 13 only found those spaces had about 20 vehicles during the peak 14 time. In addition, there's also an employee parking lot 15 located in Port Washington, which if needed, the applicant will 16 seek and get permits for their own employees thus reducing the 17 demand onsite parking. Like I said, we only expect 45 vehicles out of the 66 spaces so we don't anticipate any of this. 18 19 Lastly, we did do a trip generation for this site as a whole if it were to be fully occupied. Based off our trip generation 20 21 for the 9,000 or so square feet of medical and the remainder general office resulted in a peak trip generation of 45 trips 22 23 per hour with 13 entering and 32 exiting. Keep in mind this is 24 for the whole site where the proposed medical office would only count for a very small portion of that. Compared to a previous 25 bank, a bank that is much smaller than this would generate 100 26

- 2 trips in a peak hour, especially if it had a drive-thrus. So
- 3 from a traffic standpoint, it's also a very small impact.
- If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you.
- 7 MS. COSCHIGNANO: Okay. So, you know, to summarize, we
- 8 feel it's clearly in character with the neighborhood, its
- 9 existed for many years and we are not adding any new
- 10 structures, we are increasing the parking and removing the ATM,
- 11 which we feel is going to be an improvement to the site.
- 12 Considering the previous variances granted and the nature of
- 13 the area, we feel that -- and the parking that we are
- increasing, we feel that it's not a substantial variance and
- 15 especially in this commercial area. At this point in time we
- don't have an alternative. It's been very difficult to find
- 17 tenants like we said to occupy general office space. So we,
- 18 you know, we've had these medical users. We do have a tutoring
- 19 service, which is general office space but this would add a
- 20 dental user, which is medical. We don't feel there will be any
- 21 detrimental affect on the community, the premises are not
- 22 changing, but we do feel they are going to be improved with the
- 23 landscaping, the removal of the ATM and the additional parking.
- 24 We would also submit that it's not a self created variance. We
- 25 have no alternative but to request these variances. The
- 26 conversion of the building from general to medical has just

- 1 Appeal #21524 2 been, you know, common in the past few years. Not just here, 3 but in other, you know, municipalities as well and that's it for me, unless you have any questions. 4 5 MEMBER GOODSELL: I do have one question. Have any of the tenants requested any of the parking spaces be specifically 6 7 designated for their business? 8 MS. COSCHIGNANO: No. MEMBER DONATELLI: And will the spots be compliant in 9 10 terms of size? 11 MS. COSCHIGNANO: Yes, they are. 12 MEMBER DONATELLI: I guess as I look at the site plan, 13 the proposed site plan, I do have a question. I see where the 14 -- along Bellview Avenue where the ATM currently is. MS. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. 15 16 MEMBER DONATELLI: Obviously you're going to -- you're 17 proposing to remove the ATM and we have an ingress from Bayview and then you're going to keep that proposed curb line and make 18 19 it slightly wider. I quess my question is in terms of circulation of cars in the parking area, it -- is it more 20 21 advantageous to keep that proposed curb line there then to 22 widen it or to somehow reconfigure the parking in some way
- MR. ROBINSON: You're talking about the curb line that
 we're going to add to the south side of the island here?

 MEMBER DONATELLI: Yes. Yes.

without that curb line?

| 2 | MR. ROBINSON: We prefer to put to add that extra |
|-----|---|
| 3 | width there, because today the difference between the head in |
| 4 | parking against that curb and what's now the drive-thru lane is |
| 5 | only the width of a six inch curb and if someone were to pull |
| 6 | up to that curb, say they drive a suburban or really any SUV |
| 7 | today, the front bumper overhangs the curb. So I would rather |
| 8 | not have cars parked along that aisle where they could get hit |
| 9 | by the car that's pulling into the head in parking on the north |
| 10 | side. It'd be more preferable to create some overhang space |
| 11 | and landscape it and then have a clean drive aisle and then the |
| 12 | parallel parking along the south side. It just operationally |
| 13 | will work better. We left the driveway where it is now which |
| 14 | is currently the exit from the drive-thru lane as an entrance |
| 15 | only so you can turn in this way, parallel park on your right |
| 16 | and the main driveway that exists here remains two ways. So |
| 17 | you can circulate in, back out this way and there are currently |
| 18 | two exits through evergreen on the east side. |
| 1 0 | MEMPER DONATELLI. Thank you for that ovalanation |

19 MEMBER DONATELLI: Thank you for that explanation.
20 That does make sense.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Because I was thinking the same way he was thinking. My thought was, why did you not -- obviously the least expensive way of configuring it is to just make parking, but did you explore incorporating that space into the main parking lot by removing that and see if it changed the amount of spaces you could get out of it?

- Appeal #21524

 MR. ROBINSON: I probably did about 40 different

 parking variations on the property trying to figure out ways to

 make it work.
- 5 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I just want to get it on the record.
- 6 MR. ROBINSON: Unfortunately the extra width is just
 7 not enough to get a head in single loaded row. We've tried
 8 different configurations. I tried making it all angled parking
- 9 and in the end, the seven parallel spots actually got me the
- 10 most amount of parking. It's simple, it's convenient and it
- 11 disturbs the least amount of land.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That's why I had asked the question
 13 about the width there and get that on the record that the 25 is
 14 not going to do you a whole lot.
- MR. ROBINSON: You're not going to get any more out of that.
- MEMBER DONATELLI: And the parking along that rear area, the south side, the five -- proposed five yard setback.
- 19 That will be for employees?
- MR. ROBINSON: I think it's open. I don't think we
 have any restricted parking in there. The new parallel stalls
 are all 10 by 23, you know, fully conforming spaces in there to
 provide plenty of room to maneuver your vehicle around and the
 buffer is seven feet from the curb line.
- 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: Any other questions? You guys okay 26 with this? All right. So, Mr. Chairman, I am very well

2 familiar with the premises. I've been going to the bank ever 3 since it was Washington Mutual and before that. I mean, all sorts of -- that's right. And so I'm very familiar with the 4 5 premises. I've actually used the occasional park there. I 6 would also note for the record that as we know from other 7 applications that have come before us with a drive-thru where 8 there is some neighbor input, those are very difficult issues to deal with. I do believe that having a five foot buffer with 9 10 parallel parking is bound to be less disruptive than having a 11 drive-thru area so close to the existing premises. So I would 12 also note that the spots are compliant. One moment, please. 13 So -- so I know in light of the prior grant variance for the 14 parking spots and in light of the fact that we are actually adding parking spots here, I think that -- that we're certainly 15 16 not increasing the deficit of parking. I think if anything, 17 we're actually making the site a little bit more usable. We 18 are, of course, converting empty office into medical, but I 19 would note that that building now already is in part medical. So it would actually make sense from a -- from a zoning board 20 review. I would also note that there is a fence that the 21 22 applicant has agreed to reduce and add some plantings as part 23 of the buffer. With respect to the signs, the building is a 24 prior nonconforming, that it actually projects onto the right of way, which is part of the reason why the sign will project, 25 26 but in terms of height and in terms of size, the sign is

1 Appeal #21524 2 complaint. Yeah. Yeah. The proposed sign is 18 square feet 3 and it's approximately 12 feet in height. So the only reason why they need a variance for that, is because it does encroach 4 5 but the building itself encroaches. So based on all of those reasons, I make a motion that we grant the application. 6 7 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We have a motion by Mr. Donatelli. Do we have a second? 8 9 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Seconded by Mr. Hernandez. Please 11 poll the Board. 12 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell? 13 MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye. 14 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez? MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye. 15 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli? 16 17 MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis? 18 19 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina? 20 21 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Before I vote aye, I'd just like to add one thing to that. That drive-thru is hideous and --22 MEMBER DONATELLI: As the architect on the Board. 23 24 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: As the architect on the Board and also the impact of the drive-thru to the neighbor next door, 25 aside from the aesthetics and as I said in the beginning we are 26

1 Appeal #21525 not an aesthetic board, but it's pretty much smack on the 2 3 property line and a drive-thru could be active 24 hours a day. So I think that it takes that whole commercial part and just 4 5 eliminates it, you know, from those neighbors next door and even for the neighbors across the street, just not having to 6 7 see that odd structure there, I think is also possible. So as 8 I said, I vote aye as well. 9 MS. COSCHIGNANO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you. 11 MS. COSCHIGNANO: Thank you very much. 12 (A discussion was held off the record.) 13 SECRETARY WAGNER: Next appeal, Appeal Number 21525, 14 Orlando's Deli (14-16-18 Port Washington Inc); 18 Main Street in Port Washington; Section 5, Block 126, Lot 225 in the 15 16 Business-B Zoning District. Conditional use from 70-126.F, to 17 construct interior alterations to convert a space to a retail 18 food use. 19 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've hard Appeal Number 21525, Orlando's Deli. Is there anyone in the room who is interested 20 21 in the application other than the applicant? Seeing no one. Please give your name and address. 22 23 MR. EDWARDS: Yes. Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 24 Members of the Board. For the record, my name is Wayne

Edwards, Sahn, Ward, 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale,

New York. I'm the attorney for the applicant Executive

25

2 Delicacy PW doing business as Orlando's Deli, who is a tenant 3 of the premises located at 18 Main Street in Port Washington. 4 18 Main Street is on the south side of Main Street, 203 feet 5 east of South Maryland Avenue. It is in a group of stores 6 known as 14-18 Main Street and is the middle store, and is 7 located in the Business-B District on the official Zoning Map 8 of the Town of North Hempstead. It was formerly used as a nail salon day spa. The square footage of the store is 1,832 square 9 10 feet with 1,560 square feet in the basement used for storage 11 and mechanical space. The applicant submitted the building 12 permit for the alteration of the premises through the building 13 department of the Town of North Hempstead for the deli use in a 14 denial letter dated January 8, 2024 was issued which states that under section 70-126.F, additional use retail grocery, 15 16 retail use or other stores primarily used for the selling of 17 food item and packaged goods in units of more than one person for consumption requires a conditional use permit. That's why 18 19 we're here today. I've submitted the packet of exhibits. Exhibit A is a Google photograph, which shows the location of 20 21 the building. You will note that behind the building is a 22 large municipal lot and the proposed deli can be accessed from 23 that lot. There currently is a steel door at the deli. That 24 will be changed when we do the alterations to a glass door and it will make an inviting set up. The interior of the store has 25 26 also been set up so that you're not walking through the kitchen

23

24

25

26

2 or the mechanic or any other areas in terms of food 3 preparation. So you can use that parking lot to access the 4 deli right from there so you don't have to park on the street. 5 You might be familiar with Orlando's Deli. They're right down 6 the block right next to Starbucks. I submitted the picture of 7 the front of that store and also the menu of that store. It's 8 going to be basically the same menu. I've also included the 9 hours of operation. The hours of operation are basically --10 let's see. Monday through Friday, six a.m. to six p.m., 11 Saturday, seven a.m. to five p.m. and Sunday, seven a.m. to 12 three p.m. You know, as you learn your business district, 13 those hours might change, you know, might be different in 14 Manhasset verses Port Washington, but it will basically those 15 hours. Those are similar hours to the location they recently 16 opened in Bethpage. So they're expanding. I also included a 17 little about them. Its been there for 40 years Orlando's Deli and the two operators have purchased it. They were employees 18 19 in 2018 and they've been operating obviously successfully since they're expanding. 20 21 Pursuant to Section 70-225.B of the code, the Board must consider in making -- granting a conditional use permit 22

must consider in making -- granting a conditional use permit with the purposes of zoning as set forth in the Town of North Hempstead and the use permitted where the property is located is in the Business-B Zone. Rather than go through all of them, let's just say it's not going to affect the health, safety and

1 Appeal #21525 2 welfare of the community. It is a use that is common to 3 downtown business uses. Every downtown business use, whether Manhasset, Port Washington or Carle Place has a local deli and 4 5 it's in a great location at the entrance from Port Washington 6 Boulevard onto Main Street. So it's a great location for that 7 and it should be something that's good for the community. 8 There are a lot of empty stores on Main Street so filling one of those is great for the Town of North Hempstead, as well as 9 10 Port Washington. It will not affect any residential uses. 11 There's a big municipal lot as well, other business around 12 there so there's really no houses that are going to be 13 affected. 14 So on behalf of the applicant, unless you have any 15 questions, I request the Board to grant this. We do have 16 Roberto from the store if you have any questions regarding its operation and we have the architect here as well. 17 18 MEMBER DONATELLI: I do have some questions as to the 19 outdoor seating. I think I saw two seats for outdoor seating. MR. EDWARDS: I don't see outdoor seating on it, at 20 least on my plan. There are 10 seats in the store itself. Oh, 21 I see the two seats in the back. Yeah. 22 MEMBER DONATELLI: Yeah. Two seats in the back. 23 24 MR. EDWARDS: It's on A-1. A-1. 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: A-1. Okay. So is that area that is

26

specific to this premises?

- 1 Appeal #21525 2 MR. EDWARDS: It appears to be and if not, we will --3 we will remove it if it's not. MEMBER DONATELLI: So to my knowledge, that backs up to 4 5 the town parking. It that correct? 6 MR. EDWARDS: Yes. 7 MEMBER DONATELLI: Because we're -- we're -- we have in 8 some limited circumstances, allowed for outdoor seating. 9 However, I -- I guess my -- my one observation is I think it's 10 kind of strange to only have two seats out there, because then 11 you might -- if you're the only people who are sitting out 12 there, you might feel like well they didn't want me inside. 13 But secondly, I just -- I don't -- I don't know that that's 14 going to be something that is going to be of use if it's fronting on parking lot. I don't know. 15 MR. EDWARDS: I don't think it's -- I really --16 17 basically a deli to me is basically takeout. We do have some 18 seats, but if you're gonna use those two outside seats, you're 19 probably running, grabbing some lunch. You're a UPS driver or somebody else sitting down, eating it and you're not looking 20 21 for -- for a view. 22 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: The Board has been very careful 23 about granting outdoor seating, you know, for a number on
- reasons. First of all, it has a magic way of just expanding.
 You know, there are issues of paper plates blowing around and
 trash, you know, that ends up out there. How is that handled

- 1 Appeal #21525
- 2 and also from a safety perspective, we have always required a
- 3 sufficient substantial barriers to any outdoor seating and I
- 4 don't know what that is. It doesn't look like there's a whole
- 5 lot of space back there, but there are concrete planters that
- 6 come up a good 30 inches high and filled with dirt and bolted
- 7 to the floor and --
- 8 MR. EDWARDS: We have a planter in front of those
- 9 seats.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: What is it though?
- 11 MR. EDWARDS: I have the architect here.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: There's not a lot of space there
- 13 with the seats in there.
- MR. EDWARDS: We can also put bollards.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yeah. But just for me as one Board
- 16 member, I've just seen these things expand all over the place,
- 17 you know, and for -- for -- oh, I'd say at least half of the
- 18 time that I've been on the Board, which is now over 30 years,
- 19 you couldn't have them at all. I mean they were something that
- 20 was considered a use that was on there, but I don't know. I'm
- 21 familiar with Orlando's. Our Port member, I would -- I would
- 22 defer, you know, to -- to what his thoughts are regarding this.
- 23 He does serve a lot of Port Washington. I'm just a little
- concerned, you know, about -- about, you know, that in there.
- 25 Also, when I look at the survey and I'm not sure of exactly
- 26 where that is, but there appear to be, like, cellar accesses or

- 1 Appeal #21525
- 2 something that are -- that are along that area in there, but I
- 3 -- the survey doesn't show the definition of, you know, of
- 4 anything else that's in there. So I'm just pointing that out
- 5 that I don't see anything shown on that brick paver area there.
- 6 So yeah, I mean if the architect wants to speak to that,
- 7 please.
- 8 MR. MONTAG: Good afternoon, Board. Arnold Montag,
- 9 architect.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Just back up a little bit.
- 11 MR. MONTAG: Okay. Real quickly. It's not
- 12 detrimental. It's not a make it or break it for the deli. We
- actually put that in there only because, you know, since Covid,
- 14 there are still many people who are not comfortable eating
- indoors so we just said, hey, let's just provide this little,
- 16 you know, luxury really if somebody doesn't want to eat
- 17 indoors. But it's not going to, you know, it's a small
- 18 percentage of the whole business. It's not, like, an outdoor
- 19 seating restaurant that's going to lure customers in.
- 20 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Can I ask a question. Given what
- 21 you just stated, you put it on the side that is four feet and
- 22 11 inches wide and substantially narrower than the ore side of
- 23 the sidewalk, which says that it's five feet five inches wide
- 24 and clearly wider.
- 25 MR. MONTAG: Let's see if we had any logic and if not,
- 26 maybe we're going to hire you.

- 1 Appeal #21525
- 2 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I'm just curious if that's what you
- 3 did it for, I would have put it on the other side. Unless
- 4 there's something there blocking it.
- 5 MR. MONTAG: Right. It is skewed. You're right.
- 6 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Yeah. The sidewalk was skewed and
- 7 not even and one side is wider.
- 8 MR. MONTAG: Oh, I do remember. There was a logic. We
- 9 actually had four. We had two and two and then the plan
- 10 examiner told us if we had four, I forgot what it was, but that
- 11 set something also.
- 12 SECRETARY WAGNER: You're only permitted 12 otherwise
- it becomes an actual restaurant.
- 14 MR. MONTAG: Exactly. So that's where we eliminated,
- 15 but you are right. We should have eliminated from the other
- side and if granted, we would switch it. So I like that.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So what protection do we have? I
- 18 mean that's a fairly narrow --
- 19 MR. MONTAG: We have a concrete planter right in front
- 20 of the table.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Do we have a drawing of the concrete
- 22 planter?
- MR. MONTAG: No, but we could. We would have it all,
- you know, rebarred, reinforced and all.
- 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: Well, you know, I -- I do not oppose
- 26 outdoor seating. It can be a nice amenity if there's some

1 Appeal #21525 2 definition to it. I'm mindful of a few instances where we've 3 done that. I think we have condition however some receptacles 4 for trash out there so as the Chairman said, we don't have, you 5 know, paper plates blowing, or lose materials, or blowing 6 laptops and iPads, you know. It -- it -- I don't have an 7 objection to it, but I would want to see a cross section of 8 that planter, because it does appear very very narrow and of 9 course somebody would have to squeeze by between the table and 10 the planters to get access there so. But I -- I do agree, you 11 know, when the students get out of class and want to go 12 somewhere, it's a nice amenity to have along Main Street and I 13 don't think that it would be incongruous with any of the 14 conditions. I quess my real question that comes up and I don't 15 any great concern inside the floor plan of the building. 16 main concern is really with the safety of the outdoor seating. 17 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And I'll also would ask on the 18 survey, I can't -- I can't read it because it's too small, 19 there are two rectangles that are shown on each side in approximately that area where that would be. 20 21 MR. MONTAG: No. That's just the existing chimneys. 22 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: No. No. No. Not that. Not the 23 chimneys. Those are in the corner. Next to it there are three 24 chimneys. 25 MR. EDWARDS: I didn't see any. Mr. Chairman, I don't

think I saw anything there. I think that's just concrete

- 1 Appeal #21525
- 2 paths, whatever is there.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. I quess maybe along the --
- 4 whatever you're going to show for the -- it looks like it's got
- 5 a little wall of something on the side. I don't know.
- 6 MR. MONTAG: Mr. Mammina, can I show you a photo of
- 7 what's there?
- 8 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: If you give us your phone it's ours.
- 9 MR. MONTAG: It's just a concrete path the way the
- 10 surveyor called it out. I guess for the -- it's just asphalt
- 11 verses concrete.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So it's flat?
- MR. MONTAG: Yeah. It's just a material difference.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So one is not higher than the other,
- 15 not the end of the world to me.
- MR. MONTAG: Yeah. If you'd like to see the photo.
- 17 You want to see the photo? It's flat.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I take your word for it.
- 19 MEMBER GOODSELL: For me, the issue wouldn't be whether
- 20 I could sit outside or not sit outside. Obviously that area is
- 21 for people who want to eat and go back to work. For me, it's
- 22 whether I can pull up and get something. But if I can't pull
- 23 up, I'm going to bypass it and go and I've presumed that they
- looked at that, because there's not much parking over there.
- 25 There's a few spots in front of Orlando's and then there's no
- 26 spots as you get to the corner. So I've presumed they looked

- 2 that this, but if you can't park, you're not going to stop.
- 3 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: There's parking in the back.
- 4 MEMBER GOODSELL: If you know where it is. If you know
- 5 where it is.
- 6 MR. EDWARDS: You know, in all due respect is that
- 7 that's one of the great things about the deli. Like I've been
- 8 coming here for a number of years and I know where to park and
- 9 not where to park.
- 10 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Right.
- MR. EDWARDS: So you learn and I think, you know, if
- 12 you're coming into town for the first time, right, then you
- might park in front and actually there's plenty of parking,
- 14 because a couple of stores are closed. But it's all local
- 15 knowledge and for a deli or anything else, it's all local
- 16 knowledge and that's why it's a great location. And one of the
- 17 things I'd like to point out and I know we're getting hung up
- on this outdoor seating, but the back of that store is going
- 19 through -- and the back of the building basically is going
- 20 through an entire renovation with brick work and things like
- 21 that. So here's my suggestion. Okay. And we want to get
- 22 started with the work on this project as soon as possible and
- 23 so I don't want to get hung up on seating. If you grant the
- 24 seating, let us submit a cutout of the planter but make it to
- 25 the satisfaction of the of the building department so it acts
- as a barrier for the two seats verses us coming back the

1 Appeal #21525 2 following couple of weeks. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I wouldn't want that anyway, no. 4 5 MR. EDWARDS: So I'm assuming that we will get the conditional use permit, but I don't want to get hung up on 6 7 seating. I don't want to throw the baby out of the bath water. 8 So I would say Mr. Montag will produce a cutout, produce the 9 location for the two seats and then it should be the 10 satisfaction of the building department to make sure that the 11 barriers sufficient and would stop any cars. CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I also think that a difference here, 12 13 you know, from potentially someone else coming in with 14 something else is that it's not set up like a sidewalk cafe, 15 which I think are very very nice and are all over Manhattan, 16 you know, but you don't have find a lot of that in 17 municipalities and villages, you know. So I think though as, you know, we separate why as one and not the other, I think 18 19 that that's also important. MR. EDWARDS: That would be my suggestion for the Board 20 21 if the Board grants it. Mr. Montag will draw something up to the satisfaction. He knows what an acting barrier should be 22 23 regarding the safety of those two seats. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Sure. Sure. 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: Let me just complete the

conversation started by Member Goodsell, which is Port

1 Appeal #21525 2 Washington is actually blessed and cursed simultaneously having 3 a real downtown with real pedestrian traffic on real Main 4 Street and fortunately we are not quite as beholden to our 5 automobiles as other areas in town spread out. So I would -- I 6 would suggest that between the students, between the other 7 workers, you know, local knowledge is important I would note to 8 either have to pull around back. There was a pizzeria in the adjacent space that had been there for some 30 or 40 years so 9 10 it can be made to work. So I think that the conditional use 11 permit is -- is -- that the applicant has proven us to the 12 conditional use, I suspect will work. And I think in terms of 13 deferring placing the condition that the applicant submit plans 14 through the building department for a safe planter to ensure 15 the safety of anyone seated there so subject to that condition 16 -- oh, sorry. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Before you get there, I just 18 have one question for the operator of the Manhasset Orlando's. 19 If you would join us at the podium. MR. EDWARDS: He's not going to give you the secret 20 21 recipe. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Give your name and address. 23 MR. MARGARITA: Monroe Margarita, it's 42 Edgewood,

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Almost every food use now

utilizes the food delivery services like Door Dash, is that

24

25

26

Port Washington, New York.

- 1 Appeal #21525
- 2 something that Orlando's does at Manhasset?
- 3 MR. MARGARITA: Yes. We do Uber Eats. We have online
- 4 orders.
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: And so that would be the same
- 6 in Port Washington?
- 7 MR. MARGARITA: Same thing. Yeah.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So one of the issues is where
- 9 controlling where they park when they picking up the store.
- 10 And, you know, Main Street is not the widest street in the
- 11 world. So if there's a way to control that so that the parking
- 12 happens in the parking lot and not on Main Street, I think that
- 13 would be --
- 14 MR. MARGARITA: Well, they can park in the back because
- it's a big parking lot. It's in and out so.
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So is there a way for you to
- 17 direct them going forward?
- 18 MR. MARGARITA: Yes. I can recommend them to go to the
- 19 back.
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: It's almost an unenforceable
- 21 condition, but I would like that to be a condition.
- MEMBER DONATELLI: And I agree. I think that's well
- 23 put. You do not -- we don't want cars double parking or
- 24 stopping right on Main Street.
- 25 MR. MARGARITA: Of course not on Main Street.
- 26 MEMBER DONATELLI: That would be a disaster between

| 1 | Appeal #21525 |
|----|--|
| 2 | school time getting in and out, this and that. So what I would |
| 3 | suggest is, number one, making this our application to grant |
| 4 | subject to restaurant conditions. Number two, subject to any |
| 5 | food pick up or delivery service be in the rear of the |
| 6 | premises, not in the front of the premises. All right. So |
| 7 | subject to those conditions, we've got restaurant conditions, |
| 8 | we've got food delivery service accessing the premises through |
| 9 | the rear of the premises and subject to the applicant |
| 10 | submitting acceptable plans to the building department for the |
| 11 | the safety of any patrons who might be seated outside in the |
| 12 | vicinity of the planter. |
| 13 | MS. ALGIOS: And that's in satisfaction |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Exactly. So in addition to |
| 15 | Uber Eats parking in the back, all your food deliveries, all |
| 16 | your service that you get would also have to happen in the |
| 17 | back. Not double parked in the front of Main Street. |
| 18 | MR. MARGARITA: Okay. I'm going to make sure of that. |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you. |
| 20 | MEMBER DONATELLI: So subject to those conditions, I |
| 21 | make a motion we grant the application. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We have a motion. Do we have a |
| 23 | second? |
| 24 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Second. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Seconded. Motion by Member |

Donatelli and Vice Chairman Francis. Please poll the Board.

1 Appeal #21526 2 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez? 3 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Ave. SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell? 4 5 MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye. SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli? 6 7 MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis? 8 9 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. 10 SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina? 11 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Aye. The application is granted 12 subject to the conditions put forward. So thank you. 13 MR. MARGARITA: Thank you very much. 14 MEMBER DONATELLI: Thank you. 15 SECRETARY WAGNER: For the record, indicate that Member Goodsell --16 17 MEMBER GOODSELL: Mr. Chairman, I have a prior engagement. I must leave. I'd like to stay, please don't take 18 19 this personally. I have a prior engagement and I will not be here for this so thank you very much. 20 21 SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21526, Greenvale 22 Realty Holdings, LLC; 13 Glen Cove Road, Greenvale; Section 7, 23 Block D, Lot 242 in the Business-B Zoning District. Variances 24 from 70-103(A)(1) and 70-103(F)(1), to legalize additions to a 25 commercial structure with not enough parking and not enough 26 loading zones.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You hard Appeal Number 21526,

Greenvale Realty Holdings, LLC. Is there anyone in the room

interested in the application other than the applicant? Seeing

no one. Good afternoon.

MR. SBARRO: Good after. My name is Gianni Sbarro, I'm

an attorney with Forchelli, Deegan Terrana, LLP with an office

at 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale, New York. you all for having us today. Here presenting with me is Sean Mulryan, our traffic engineer and Sal Alesci, he's our owner and applicant. The requested application is for the property known as 13 Glen Cove Road in Greenvale located on the west side of Glen Cove Road approximately 308 feet south of Northern Boulevard. The property has a lot area of 6,044 square feet and it's designated on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map as Section 7, Block D, Lot 242. According to the town zoning map, the property is located in the Business-B District. The existing building on the property is currently vacant, but it was formerly used as retail clothing store known as En-Toto Couture. Mr. Alesci purchased the property on July 7, 2023 and he is now seeking to maintain a one-story rear addition over the rear entrance of the building and a second story addition, which is a partial second floor in the front of the building. Both additions were constructed long before Mr. Alesci purchased the property so he's only seeking to legalize the additions today. Mr. Alesci proposes to use approximately

- 1 Appeal #21526 2 3,073 square feet space on the first and second floors of the 3 building for its family owned and operated business New York City Fireplaces and Outdoor Kitchens. Additionally, the 4 5 applicant proposes to use approximately 993 square feet of 6 space on the second floor of the building for office use. By 7 way of background, New York City Fireplaces and Outdoor Kitchen 8 sells, designs, builds and installs, as well as fixes 9 fireplaces and outdoor kitchens. The companies products range 10 from custom gas to electric and ethenol fireplaces. The 11 business also offers a full line of outdoor kitchens, 12 accessories, outdoor cabinetry, refrigeration, side burners and 13 pizza ovens. This will be a showroom and design only location, 14 as their warehouse is located in Maspeth, Queens. The majority 15 of the companies employees operate in or from the Maspeth 16 location and the maximum of two employees will be at the 17 Greenvale location on any given day. I'd like to hand up just a flier to give you a little 18 19 bit of a better understanding of the business and what they offer. 20 21 SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 1. 22 MEMBER DONATELLI: So sorry, Mr. Sbarro, not to 23 interrupt.
- 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: But this will be a showroom for just 26 potential purchasers. Will this by appointment or how will

MR. SBARRO: Sure.

- 1 Appeal #21526
- 2 that happen?
- 3 MR. SBARRO: Yes. So it's going to be by both. A good
- 4 portion of the business is by appointment. Occasionally will
- 5 have a walk-in, but that's fairly rare from Mr. Alesci's
- 6 business model. They, you know, they usually have somebody
- 7 call in, which I actually have in my presentation. They'll
- 8 have somebody typically call in, they will, you know, it's
- 9 basically inquire about the products, if they want to come in
- and actually see the products they can set up an appointment
- and then at that point they can, you know, browse and then
- somebody will go out to the property, take the proper
- 13 measurements if the particular customer hasn't taken those
- 14 measurements already and then it will be delivered from the
- 15 Maspeth location.
- 16 MEMBER DONATELLI: And is there a showroom in the
- 17 Maspeth location?
- 18 MR. SBARRO: Yes, I believe so.
- 19 MEMBER DONATELLI: I don't mean to interrupt your flow
- of your presentation, but these thoughts just came to my mind.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Just give your name and
- 22 address.
- MR. ALESCI: My name is Sal Alesci, 13 Glen Cove Road.
- Yes, we do have a showroom in Maspeth.
- 25 MEMBER DONATELLI: All right. Thank you. So please
- 26 continue.

| 2 | MR. SBARRO: Thank you. So today the applicant is |
|----|---|
| 3 | seeking variances from section 70-103(A)(1) and 70-103(F)(1) of |
| 4 | the code for insufficient off street parking and insufficient |
| 5 | loading provisions. Pursuant to section 70-103(A)(1), all |
| 6 | offices other then medical, dental or similar offices are |
| 7 | required to have one off street parking space for each 200 |
| 8 | square feet of floor area in excess of 1,000 square feet. And |
| 9 | then similarly, a retail storage is required to have one off |
| 10 | street parking space for each 300 square feet of floor area. |
| 11 | As such, 11 off street parking spaces are required for this |
| 12 | site. The existing parking lot on the property provides five |
| 13 | off street parking spaces, including one handicap accessible |
| 14 | parking space. So a variance for six off street parking spaces |
| 15 | is required. Notably, on April 12, 2000, under Appeal Number |
| 16 | 16338, this Board granted a prior owner of the property a |
| 17 | variance from section 70-103 for a deficiency of four off |
| 18 | street parking spaces and a copy of that decision was |
| 19 | previously submitted with our application. Further, according |
| 20 | to section $70-130(F)(1)$, provisions for off street loading and |
| 21 | unloading must be made on the premises use for a business |
| 22 | purpose in the location that will not interfere with accessory |
| 23 | parking and means of ingress or egress. Pursuant to the notice |
| 24 | of disapproval dated January 5, 2024, one loading zone is |
| 25 | required here. Due to the size of the property and the desire |
| 26 | to maximize onsite parking, the applicant proposes no loading |

- zone on the property. So a variance from section 70-103(F)(1)
- 3 is also required. The parking onsite will be self policing, as
- 4 there is no on street parking permitted and no accessible place
- 5 for any overflow parking to go. Any deficiency will only
- 6 affect Mr. Alesci and his customers so there will be no impact
- on the surrounding property owners. We are also aware that
- 8 there was one letter submitted from a property owner that will
- 9 be addressed. At the outset, I'd like to just introduce
- 10 Mr. Sean Mulryan so he can speak on his traffic engineer report
- and again, he and I will both address that letter as well as
- 12 the five factors.
- MR. MULRYAN: Good afternoon. Sean Mulryan, Mulryan
- 14 Engineering, 1225 Franklin Avenue in Garden City, New York.
- The subject site is located on Glen Cove Road and I'm
- sure anyone that lives in Nassau County has been on that road
- 17 at least once. We are located just south of Northern
- 18 Boulevard. That intersection is in the newspaper more than
- 19 once. It carries a few cars through it. This is a building,
- 20 according to the Nassau County plan -- Nassau County Tax
- 21 records, the building was built in 1918 so there are
- 22 difficulties on this site that are inherent to the site. This
- is a unique property. The property that's -- the building that
- 24 sits on this property and the property to the south sit at what
- 25 I'll call the natural elevation of the property. Whereas, the
- 26 building to the north and the building to the south of those

2 two buildings. So there's a Wendy's directly to the north and 3 that's the property owner that submitted the letter and there's 4 a bank to the south. Those properties have been lowered. 5 there are retaining walls on those properties and those 6 properties are flush with or at the same elevation relatively speaking with Glen Cove Road. Our property is level with Glen 7 8 Cove Road and then inline up towards the rear parking lot. 9 by the fact that there are retaining walls on the property to 10 the north, which is Wendy's that submitted the letter in 11 concern, there is a grade separation dividing those two 12 properties. The grade separation does not exist between this 13 property and the property to the west, which is a larger 14 shopping center which you can see in the aerial photograph to your left, my right. However, there are fences separating this 15 16 property from the property to the west, the property to the 17 south and the property to the north, which is also at a significant grade difference. The letter submitted by the 18 19 neighbor was a letter that references the concern of the neighbor in will people use our parking lot to go to the site. 20 21 As Mr. Sbarro mentioned, this is a fireplace outdoor -- outdoor kitchen facility. The materials that are on display in these 22 23 showrooms are large. Typically you'll have a fireplace built 24 into a mantel or a fomantel, if you will which is much bigger 25 than a dress or some other item that a retail store might sell. 26 So the square footage used for these displays is significant

2 relative to other retail uses. These are not things that you 3 purchase on a daily or weekly basis. It's something that you 4 might invest in for your home, you might look to redo your 5 backyard, but unless you move on a very regular basis, it's 6 something that you may or may not buy once or twice over some 7 long period of time. This site is confined to a small parking 8 lot. The applicant is aware of that. The applicant was aware 9 of that when they purchased the building. They will obviously 10 work with the neighbors in any capacity they can to make sure 11 that customers of theirs do not park on the adjoining lot. As 12 was mentioned earlier, this is typically by appointment. 13 Someone drives by and the hope is that being on a busy road, it 14 will draw eyes essentially to the website to bring traffic in during those conversations. Come in, this is our driveway, we 15 16 have parking in the rear. The five parking spaces in the back 17 do not provide an area for loading. However, once the showroom is set up, there will be no need for fresh deliveries as we had 18 19 in the deli application that proceeded us. So the showroom will be set up, the displays might change from time to time, 20 21 but obviously as a business owner, they would look to remodel 22 or redo those displays in times when the store is not open or 23 when they are not busy or not taking appointments. So again, 24 there will be a low number of employees at the subject site. 25 They will park in the rear. The appointments will be managed 26 by the applicant. This is something that is somewhat of a

2 location that brings eyes to the website and speaking to other 3 applicants, that's something that is difficult to do. You have 4 a website, it's hard to draw traffic to that website, 5 especially when you're trying to draw traffic or business from 6 a certain area. The applicant does maintain an existing 7 business in Maspeth, Queens. That business is a showroom and 8 also adjacent to that is a construction office which is also 9 owned and operated by the applicant. That is where the 10 distribution, installation and other factors will take place. 11 So this is strictly a showroom for the sale of materials within 12 the building. And as stated earlier, some -- some customers 13 may come into the site, some may not. These are things that 14 you can look at, you can look at online. Pictures are worth a thousand words as they say, but some people do like to come 15 16 into the store. I've been to Taylor's Hearth and Leisure, 17 which is on Hempstead Turnpike. They have a similar sales model and when you walk in there, it's about 1,000 degrees 18 19 because all their fireplaces are on. But as you walk through the store, essentially the wall is a collection of different 20 21 types of fixtures with different types of logs, or stones, or different things that you might see at an office or at Wendy's 22 23 sometimes will have a stone fireplace, gas fireplace. 24 the type of material that's being sold here. We don't believe 25 that there will be an issue. I know that when this application came before the Board 24 years ago, the owner of the Wendy's 26

1 Appeal #21526 also spoke at that time. I will reference the letter that was 2 3 submitted and specifically state that that letter does not state that there is ongoing issues or that there were ongoing 4 5 issues over the last 24 years. It's just a cautionary please 6 pay us the kindness of reviewing this application and considering our location. One of the other things referenced 7 8 in that letter is the use of the driveway next to the property. 9 This driveway is an exit only. It's signed as such. There are 10 two large do not enter signs located here. As I mentioned, 11 this roadway is somewhat busy so someone making a left turn 12 across that roadway is probably not very likely and it's also 13 probably not very likely that you'd make a left turn out of any 14 of these driveways. So we believe this is going to be right turns in, right turns out. Low volume, low turnover and again, 15 16 the use of the space is for showroom area. So that's the sum and substance of my report. If there's any questions, I'd by 17 18 happy to try to answer them. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You want to speak to the issue of the loading zone or the lack thereof? 20 21 MR. MULRYAN: Yes. So again, the display is essentially what would be loaded in or out of this is facility. 22 23 Once the display is set up, there is no real need based on the 24 business model for incoming deliveries of any kind. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So everything is really coming

26

out of the Maspeth location?

| 1 | Appeal #21526 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. MULRYAN: Absolutely. Absolutely. So if you look |
| 3 | online at the Maspeth location, it's an industrial area. They |
| 4 | have overhead doors underneath and again, there is a |
| 5 | construction or the installers are a separate company but under |
| 6 | the same ownership, next to that building. So the distribution |
| 7 | of product to and from would be out of Maspeth. So any |
| 8 | deliveries coming from suppliers would go to Maspeth and the |
| 9 | installers would then load them and bring them to customers |
| 10 | sites. |
| 11 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah. I just wanted to get |
| 12 | that on the record. |
| 13 | MR. MULRYAN: Absolutely. |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. MULRYAN: Thank you. |
| 16 | MR. SBARRO: Unless the Board has any other questions |
| 17 | regarding that particular letter, I'd just like to run through |
| 18 | the five factors. |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I was just going to ask that |
| 20 | you do that so good. |
| 21 | MR. SBARRO: Sure. So starting with the requested |
| 22 | variances will neither create an undesirable change in the |

character of the neighborhood nor a detriment to nearby

properties. As explained by Sean a bit earlier, the existing

onsite, including the additional floor area the applicant is

off street parking is adequate to accommodate the proposed uses

23

24

25

26

seeking to legalize today. That's without any negative impact to the neighborhood or the community at large. Again, prior to Mr. Alesci's purchase of the property, a retail dress store occupied the building for over 20 years with no parking issues. Mr. Alesci's business will operate very similarly to the prior retail business, as a consider proportion of the business consists of consultations over the phone and visits to the showroom would be infrequent. Further, the absence of loading provisions on the property will have no affect on nearby properties, because a vast majority of the inventory, including fireplaces, appliances, et cetera will be stored at a much larger facility. Again, in Maspeth, Queens.

Secondly, the benefit can not be achieved by any method feasible for the applicant to per sue other than obtain variances today. Due to the location, size limitations of the property, the applicant is not able to comply with the parking requirements set forth in the code. The proposed parking areas configured as efficiently as possibly in order to maximize the number of parking spaces onsite. Again, Mr. Alesci is only seeking to maintain the status quo on the property while improving the interior and exterior aesthetics of the building and bringing it up to code. Moreover, again, any loading or unloading would be infrequent or outside of normal business hours and can easily be accommodated by the existing parking area on the property so there is no need for any parking —

2 excuse me, loading spaces here.

1

3 Third, while the requested variances may be numerically substantial, substantiality must be viewed from the totality of 4 5 the circumstances, which plain figure granting a variance here because there is no impact to the neighbors, the environment 6 7 nor the surround community. As previously mentioned, a 8 majority of the building will strictly be used as a showroom so 9 the applicant's business generates significantly less traffic 10 then other typical retail establishments contemplated in the 11 code. A large portion of the applicant's business takes place 12 remotely so there is generally very little walk-in traffic and 13 additionally, there's -- excuse me -- particular area is highly 14 commercial in nature and the only residential property is 15 within a 300 foot radius of the subject property are located on 16 the east side of Glen Cove Road and they are buffered by numerous commercial establishments. So the granting of these 17 18 variances will have no impact on them either. Notably, the 19 subject property is not accessible from First Street, where the nearest residential properties are located so it's also highly 20 21 unlikely that there will be any spillover parking in that area as well. 22 23 Fourth, given the sites longstanding commercial status, 24 the proposed improvements will not have any physical or environmental impacts on the surrounding area. Glen Cove Road 25 26 is recognized as one of the main thoroughfares for commercial

business in Nassau County and therefore there's -- excuse me --similar businesses of this type of scale are located all throughout the neighborhood. If approved, the applicant plans to make all improvements in accordance with the town's regulations to ensure the protection of the environment and the surrounding community. And finally, while the Board may view the alleged difficulty as being self created, it should be not dispositive in your decision because the benefits of these variances being granted to the applicant significantly outweigh any detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood and the community. Therefore, we respectfully

request that the variances be granted today.

- CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Just one thing that'll I'll add in terms of the work done. I remember that application that they came in for originally however long ago that was and I don't even remember the issues where that front part had to stay open and they made very high end wedding gowns there, if I remember correctly. And they agreed to limit the size and I do remember saying in my own mind, they're going to fill that in as soon as they get that work done and I saw them filling it in and I said I'm not the zoning police, you know, and so yes, I do know for sure that that was filled in before your client rented rented the property.
- 25 MR. SBARRO: It was probably very shortly after the approval came in 2000.

| 1 | Appeal #21526 |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Right. |
| 3 | MR. SBARRO: But again, just to reassure you, this use |
| 4 | is actually even more benign than that dress use was. You |
| 5 | know, I believe they actually sold product out of that |
| 6 | location. Mr. Alesci's use is primarily gonna be sold out of |
| 7 | the Maspeth location. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I do have familiarity with these |
| 9 | types of stores having done one in my in my house and, you |
| 10 | know, truly the place where we looked at it was nothing more |
| 11 | than a showroom and I never even thought about where it came |
| 12 | from. It didn't matter one bit, you know, to me. I went to |
| 13 | Mineola as well and the whole place is just filled with that |
| 14 | and again, I didn't see any evidence of gigantic stockrooms and |
| 15 | things. Ones in Westbury, one was in Mineola and very benign. |
| 16 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Well, Mr. Chairman, I I |
| 17 | think that, as been pointed out, this is a fairly adequate use |
| 18 | for this for this area so I would move that we grant the |
| 19 | application. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We have a motion from Vice Chairman |
| 21 | Francis and do we have a second? |
| 22 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Second. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Second from Member Hernandez. |
| 24 | Please poll the Board. |
| 25 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli? |
| 26 | MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. |

MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye.

| 1 | Proceedings |
|----|---|
| 2 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez? |
| 3 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye. |
| 4 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis? |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. |
| 6 | SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Aye. Application is granted. |
| 8 | MR. SBARRO: Thank you all so much. You have a good |
| 9 | day. |
| 10 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Good luck. |
| 11 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Good luck. |
| 12 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I move that we adopt SEQRA. Do |
| 13 | we have a second? |
| 14 | MEMBER HERNANDEZ: All in favor? Aye. |
| 15 | MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. |
| 16 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Aye. |
| 18 | SECRETARY WAGNER: So SEQRA is adopted and all in |
| 19 | favor. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yes. |
| 21 | (TIME NOTED: 12:26 p.m.) |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | I, NICOLE L. BASILE, a Notary Public within |
| 4 | and for the State of New York do hereby certify that |
| 5 | the foregoing proceeding was taken before me on the |
| 6 | 20th day of March, 2024. The said testimony was |
| 7 | taken stenographically by myself and then |
| 8 | transcribed. The within transcript is a true record |
| 9 | of the said testimony. |
| 10 | I am not connected by blood or marriage with |
| 11 | any of the said parties, nor interested directly or |
| 12 | indirectly in the matter in controversy, nor am I in |
| 13 | the employ of any of the counsel. |
| 14 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my |
| 15 | hand this 24^{th} day of April, 2024. |
| | nicole d. Basili |
| 16 | There a. Busice |
| 17 | NICOLE L. BASILE |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |