	1	
	2	TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD
	3	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
	4	PUBLIC HEARINGS
	5	
	6	Wednesday July 17, 2024
	7	10:11 a.m.
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
1	3	David L. Mammina, Chairman
1	4	Leslie Francis, Vice Chairman
1	5	Patricia Goodsell, Member
1	6	Daniel Donatelli, Member
1	7	Jay Hernandez, Member
1	8	
1	9	ALSO PRESENT:
2	0	Deborah Algios, Deputy Town Attorney
2	1	Virginia Wagner, Secretary
2	2	Nicole L. Basile, Court Reporter
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: All right. If everyone would please
3 rise and join the Vice Chairman Francis in the Pledge of
4 Allegiance.

5 (Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was said.)

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you, everyone and good morning and welcome to the Town of North Hempstead Board of Zoning Appeals. What I like to do is just kind of run through the way we do business here in case you've appeared before other zoning boards. We may do things a little bit differently procedurally or if you've never been to a zoning board before and if that's the case, I'll ask you just to stay calm and pretend like you're talking to us just across your kitchen table, because that is indeed the way that we try very hard, you know, to run this. So what we'll do is as each case is called, it will then be called by -- by our secretary and -- Ms. Wagner and the -- I will then call the case again and then whoever is the applicant, in other words whoever its case belongs to, they will come up to the podium. In the meantime, I will ask if anyone else has any interest in the case. So that may be I hate the case, I love the case. The only thing that I would ask is that we focus on what the subject of the case is, because that's all that we have, you know, any jurisdiction over. You know, so if the case is about the height of a build, you know, then, you know, we really can't talk about the tree that's growing over, you know, into your side of the property

Proceedings

2	or a fence where it's not supposed to be, because that's within
3	our jurisdiction and that is what we look at. So we will then
4	ask the applicant to give their name and address and you will
5	give that to our reporter. You know, we ask throughout the
6	proceeding when anybody is up here, just make sure it's kind of
7	slower. She's excellent but, you know, when you get the speed
8	reading thing, you know, it's very difficult for her. And
9	every person as they come up, even if there are other people
10	with interest, they will give their name and address, you know,
11	as well. When that is done, then the applicant will put their
12	case onto the record with whatever they feel they want to put
13	on there to to make their argument for why the variance
14	excuse me is justified. When they are done, if there is
15	anyone who wishes to speak, then we will ask the applicant just
16	have a seat and we'll have that person come up, name and
17	address and then the microphone belongs to them. And, you
18	know, same thing, let's just focus please on what the case is.
19	We also recognize that for a lot of people these things can get
20	a little bit emotional. We'll ask, you know, to try to
21	understand that the board understands that. So when that is
22	done and the board then has asked whatever questions it wants
23	to ask, we invite the applicant back up so that the board can
24	ask some questions and things and the applicant can either
25	support what was said or refute what was said. When that is
26	done, the hearing is done 99 percent of the time and the board

1 Proceedings

will do one of four things. We will either we will
disapprove the application, we will approve the application, we
can continue the application and if we continue it, that means
that we need some more information that either the applicant is
going to provide, you know, to us. It could be a document from
a closing, could be an older survey that they feel that that
they have, the board might ask for something and then the last
thing that we would do is we would reserve the application. If
we reserve the application, it means that we have everything
that we need in order to in order to make a decision on the
case. And I will say that 99 and a half percent of the time,
only the applicant gets to speak two times. Okay. Because
they have the right to come up then and either support or
refute and if we were to go back and forth, back and forth,
back and forth, you know, essentially we can be here all day
and we are not trying to deprive anybody, you know, of their
total chance to put whatever they'd like on the record. If we
continue, you know, a case, you know, then the record remains
open and, you know, somebody can send something else if they
want to and, you know, then the board will not will not make
a decision, you know, typically on that, even within the next
hearing. Decisions could come in at the next hearing, maybe
two hearings, you know, that sort of thing depending on, you
know, when the board is satisfied with everything that it has
and when the public has submitted, you know, whatever they feel

1 Proceedings

they may want to submit. So with all of that said and I think
did I hit everything everybody? Oh, three minutes is our time
limitation for anyone who wishes to speak, either against an
application or for an application. And what I like to say is,
you know, we're not chopping anybody's head off at the end of
the three minutes, but we have to keep the hearing going. So
we ask that there be some specificity that you put in there.
You know, as I said we're not throwing you out the door in
three minutes, but we like to reinforce that there is some time
limitation on that. Thank you, Mr. Francis. So the other
things that I would like to say is that, you know, as everybody
sees we have all of our electronic devices now that we're all
connected at the hip and the hands, you know, to those. So we
have ours on silent. We hope. You know, because we will be
following the case and things on our screens and so we just ask
that everybody take your phones or whatever, put them on silent
and if you have to make a call or take a call at any time, you
can just stand up, scoot around, you know, and head out into
the lobby area. If there's anyone who is coming to join you,
then please ask them the same, because the ringing or whatever
going off is very disturbing, you know, to everybody else in
the room who is concentrating on the case, certainly, you know,
to the board, our poor stenographer, you know, is then getting
multiple things being, you know, being said. So if we could on
that. If the other thing is that we keep side talk or

1	Proceedings
2	whatever to a zero. Okay. Because, again, it's very, very
3	difficult when somebody else is talking about their case or
4	where they want to go to lunch after this. If you want to have
5	a conversation, stand up and head out there. It's absolutely
6	fine and, you know, we will ask if anyone is not here who is
7	coming, that you please repeat that to them.
8	So I think with all of that said, Ms. Wagner, do we
9	have any modifications to today's calendar?
10	SECRETARY WAGNER: We have an adjournment of Appeal
11	Number 21580, Lisa David; 50 Bregman Avenue, New Hyde Park;
12	Section 8, Block 212, Lot 110 in the Residence-C Zoning
13	District. Variances from $70-49(C)$, $70-51(A)$ and $70-52.3$, to
14	construct a new home that would be too big, too close to the
15	street, and encroach into the sky exposure plane. That will be
16	adjourned until September 4th.
17	We also have an adjournment of Appeal Number 21562,
18	Da-Angelo (Stefano Giangrande); 815 Willis Avenue in Albertson;
19	Section 9, Block 657, Lot 22 in the Business-A Zoning District.
20	Conditional Use under 70-126.A and Variances from 70-103.A,
21	70-103.B, 70-103.M, 70-134, 70-229.A, to legalize a two-story
22	addition and conversion of second-story apartment to a
23	restaurant (a conditional use) with not enough off-street

parking, parking spaces that are too small, parking in a front

yard setback, a rear yard setback that is too small, and not in

conformance with a prior BZA approval. That is adjourned

24

25

	7
1	Proceedings
2	without a date.
3	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. So what that means is those
4	two cases will not be heard today. So if you are here, you
5	know, for that Appeal 21562, Da-Angelo, 815 Willis Avenue, that
6	is reserved without date. So we don't know when it'll come
7	back. We don't know if it'll come back. And, you know, then
8	21580, Lisa David, that is adjourned to September 4th. So that
9	typically is a date certain and I will say that when I see the
10	word September, I get very depressed because that means my
11	summer is going away. But so be it.
12	So with all of that said then, Ms. Wagner, will you
13	please call the first case?
14	SECRETARY WAGNER: I just want to check. You look like
15	you're confused about something. Do you have a question about
16	something? In the second row.
17	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: The last case you had brought up.
18	That's been adjourned until September 4th?
19	SECRETARY WAGNER: The 21580, Lisa David. Were you
20	here for that?
21	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes.
22	SECRETARY WAGNER: Okay. That's been adjourned. Yes.

- That will be heard September 4th and they'll be sending out
- 24 notices by regular mail.
- UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Notifications for that?
- 26 SECRETARY WAGNER: Yes, just by regular mail.

1	Appeal Number 21576
2	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: No, thank you. Because while we
4	certainly invite people to sit and watch all of this fun.
5	SECRETARY WAGNER: There will be a slight change in the
6	caption for that appeal so.
7	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: We will get something in the mail
8	anyway.
9	MEMBER GOODSELL: Yes.
10	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Yes.
11	SECRETARY WAGNER: By regular mail, yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you. I'm glad you picked that
13	up.
14	SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21576, Daniel and
15	Shari Ross; 36 Oxford Boulevard, Great Neck, Section 2, Block
16	152, Lot 6 in the Residence-A Zoning District. Variance from
17	70-30.B, to construct an addition that is located too close to
18	the street.
19	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21576,
20	Daniel and Shari Ross. If the applicant would please come
21	forward. Is there anyone who has interest in this application
22	other than the applicant? Seeing no one. Please give your
23	name and address.
24	MR. DUIGNAN: Good morning distinguished board. My
25	name is Michael Duignan. If it's okay, I have about 90 seconds

of prepared comments. Is that okay?

21.77.

9

L	Appear	Number	215/6

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yes
CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Yes

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3 SECRETARY WAGNER: No, it's only for the public the 4 three minutes. The applicant has --

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I'm sorry, I didn't say that. Yes.

And the only thing we ask is if it's prewritten, just go a

little bit slow, because I know I'm always guilty if something
is prewritten, you know, I'm kind of plowing through it, you
know, and she has to be taking a record down. So thank you.

MR. DUIGNAN: Very good. Thank you. My name is Michael Duignan and I'm a registered architect since 1992. a principal at Long Island Architecture Studio located at 1943 Wantagh Avenue, Wantagh, New York. I am representing our clients Daniel and Shari Ross who own and reside at the subject property of 36 Oxford Boulevard in Great Neck. Section 2, Block K, Lot 152 in Zone RA, which is a nonconforming lot where an existing one-story mid century ranch sits. And our proposal is for a new second-story addition above the ranch. We are requesting a front yard variance along Governors Court. It is a corner property with the existing front yard setback along Governors is 25.1 feet. We are not proposing any change to this setback. The new second floor wall is proposed to be over the first floor wall and also have a setback of 25.1 feet. the neighborhood, the majority of the two story homes also along governor has similar setbacks and some even less. The property adjacent to the south has an existing less setback

	10
1	Appeal Number 21576
2	then the subject property. Daniel and Shari have exhibits
3	here. The homeowners have resided in the house for a number of
4	years. They have reached out to a few of their neighbors, most
5	of them are adjacent. There are five letters here indicating
6	support of the new addition and variance.
7	SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 1.
8	MR. DUIGNAN: Those are the originals. The addresses
9	that are that are included in those letters, 35 Oxford, 37
10	Oxford, 42 Oxford. 12 Governors and 5 Cambridge and, again,
11	all in very close proximity, if not adjacent, directly across
12	the street. All of the neighbors that would be most affected
13	by this addition are included. We have also reviewed, you
14	know, reviewed the neighborhood. We've done foil searches
15	looking for precedence where homes have been approved with
16	similar or less front yard setbacks. Again, they're along
17	Governors Avenue which is the side yard where our addition is
18	proposed and I have prepared a map if the board would
19	appreciate seeing it, indicating the variances and the
20	approvals with such.
21	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Certainly please, because that
22	supports your your application.
23	SECRETARY WAGNER: Are you submitting this to the

24 board?

MR. DUIGNAN: Yes.

26 SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 2.

2	MR. DUIGNAN: So in conclusion of my prepared remarks,
3	we feel the proposed addition certainly fits within the
4	character of the neighborhood. Particularly from a technical
5	standpoint, where many of the houses have equal or less front
6	yard setbacks and we feel that the house well, not we feel,
7	but factually the house complies with all other zoning
8	ordinances in terms of setbacks, et cetera, volume, et cetera
9	and there is no history of a zoning board variance granted at
10	this property. Thank you.
11	MEMBER DONATELLI: I think you addressed this already
12	in your remarks, but just to be clear, you are building above
13	the existing foundation only. We are not increasing the
14	nonconformity. Is that correct?
15	MR. DUIGNAN: That is correct, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: The only place where it extends a
17	little bit further is in the rear of the building. There's
18	like a one foot overhang.
19	MR. DUIGNAN: Yes, sir. And that conforms with the
20	rear yard setback.
21	MEMBER GOODSELL: That one foot difference makes
22	because I had something similar and it makes all the difference
23	in the space.

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We're not an aesthetic board, but I 26

does. Every foot square counts.

24

25

MR. DUIGNAN: For the interior. Yeah. Absolutely. It

1	Appeal Number 21576				
2	personally hate when people put it in the front of the house.				
3	Unless they can make it fit the context of the house, but				
4	that's okay. That's just my personal architect.				
5	MEMBER GOODSELL: It does seem that it will be a larger				
6	house, but you do not have an issue with GFA and just for the				
7	record there are no sky plane issues.				
8	MR. DUIGNAN: Correct. Thank you.				
9	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Do we have a motion?				
10	MEMBER DONATELLI: I'll make a motion that we grant the				
11	application.				
12	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So we have a motion from Member				
13	Donatelli. Do we have a second?				
14	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Second.				
15	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Seconded by Vice Chairman Francis.				
16	Please poll the board.				
17	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez?				
18	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye.				
19	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell?				
20	MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye.				
21	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli?				
22	MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye.				
23	SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis?				
24	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye.				
25	SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina?				

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Aye. So the application is granted.

13 1 Appeal Number 21532 2 Thank you. 3 This is off the record. (A discussion was held off the record.) 4 SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21532, Petros & 5 6 Alexandra Konidaris; 66 Quaker Ridge Road, Manhasset; Section 7 3, Block 145, Lot 94 in the Residence-A Zoning District. 8 Variance from 70-31.A, to construct a garage addition that is 9 too close to the side property line and with smaller than 10 required total (aggregate) side yards. CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You heard Appeal Number 21532, 11 12 Petros and Alexandra Konidaris. Is there anyone in the room 13 other than the applicant who wishes to speak? Seeing no one. Please give your name and address. 14 15 MR. KONIDARIS: Good morning, Members of the Board. 16 name is Petros Konidaris and I am here today as the property owner of 66 Quaker Ridge Road in Manhasset, New York seeking 17 18 variances for the east side yard and side yard aggregate to 19 construct a 237 square foot addition to the existing garage creating a one and a half car garage. The zone is Residence A 20 21 and a minimum east side yard setback of 10 feet is required by 22 ordinance. We are requesting 5.1 feet. We are also seeking a side yard aggregate of 22.3 feet where 25.8 feet is specified 23 in the Town of North Hempstead building code. 24

My wife and I purchased our home in November '21, then

a family of three. Since then we welcomed our second son. Now

25

14 1 Appeal Number 21532

2	we have two boys, two and three years old and quickly realized
3	that we need to renovate due to the houses deteriorating
4	condition. We understood this need for significant
5	improvements of the property and have diligently navigated the
6	permitting and construction process for building to bring our
7	vision to fruition. Upon closer inspection we discovered that
8	our 189 square foot attached garage is in dire need of
9	attention. It suffers from issues such as black mold, leaks
10	and structural instability rendering both hazardous and
11	inadequate for our needs. The current state of the garage
12	necessitates a more substantial solution and will be requested
13	as part of the proposed garage expansion if approved by the
14	board. I have pictures that I can share of the garage if

16 SECRETARY WAGNER: You want to submit these to the 17 board?

18 MR. KONIDARIS: Sure.

that's also helpful.

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

19 SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 1.

> MR. KONIDARIS: Our proposed enlargement is not merely for convenience but essential for the safety and functionality of our home and family. We have already undertaken significant renovations to the interior of the house, including updates to plumbing, electrical systems and installation of a new HVAC system. We have completed the last stages of our major interior renovation and the proposed garage addition will fit

1 Appeal Number 21532

2	in nicely as it matches the appearance of the existing garage,
3	not to cause an undesirable affect to the neighborhood. The
4	roof will remain flat and have the same look, merely slightly
5	wider. It's also worth noting that our property is not unique
6	in it's challenges. Many homes in our neighborhood,
7	particularly those with oddly shaped lots like our own
8	encounter similar issues with garage size and placement. I
9	have some examples of other homes in the immediate area that
10	have similar setbacks to their garages to accommodate the
11	modern needs. The majority of the neighborhood was built in
12	1930. Needless to say a lot has changed since them. I'm not

SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 2.

sure if you want to go ahead and include these as well.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. KONIDARIS: The proposed garage will not only provide us with a safer and more functional space allowing us to park vehicles without overcrowding driveways, but also additional storage for our growing family's belongings. You never really realize how much you have until you have two little ones and what they amass. Toys and bikes, as well as property maintenance tools and tons of sports equipment will be stored here. As responsible homeowners investing in the wellbeing of our property and community, we respectfully request your consideration on the approval of the variance. Appreciate your time and attention to this matter and I'm happy to address any questions you may have.

	1.0
1	Appeal Number 21532
2	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: We did receive some opposition
3	to your application from one of your neighbors. Did you get a
4	copy of that?
5	MR. KONIDARIS: I did not.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at
7	the wrong one.
8	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: No, you're looking at the right one.
9	It's for the neighbor directly next to the garage. You should
10	get a copy of it so you can see it.
11	MR. KONIDARIS: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We can give you that now.
13	MR. KONIDARIS: I'm sorry?
14	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: We can give you a copy.
15	MR. KONIDARIS: Sure.
16	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: The other side of your house by
17	the way you've done a lot of work to your house. I live in the
18	neighborhood.
19	MR. KONIDARIS: Thank you.
20	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I did the same thing 20 something
21	years ago.
22	MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah, we still have a lot more to go.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Always. As I look at your house, on

the right side of the house the property drops significantly.

It really changes level. There are -- there's some kind of a

retaining wall or some kind of a wall there. Is -- what's

23

24

25

1	Appeal Number 21532
2	behind that wall, has it been filled in or is it
3	MR. KONIDARIS: So we're part of the Nassau County
4	Septic program, the GRANT program, excuse me. So we're waiting
5	to get the septic tank inserted to the right area so that we
6	can go ahead and backfill and then level that out so that you
7	can have a clear shot to the backyard from that drop that you
8	were describing with the
9	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: So your plan is to basically take
10	down the tree that is there now and create a slope to the
11	backyard?
12	MR. KONIDARIS: No. No. It's not that far up. The
13	tree that's in the front in the side yard, those will remain.
14	The retaining wall is right behind those trees. So it matches
15	the front side of the house. That's where the retaining wall
16	begins.
17	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: But your cesspool should be in the
18	front yard of the house, correct?
19	MR. KONIDARIS: We cannot because I have a water line
20	and gas line. I said its an odly shaped lot. So it starts
21	very narrow and then it expands out in the back. So the water
22	line and the gas line are so close that we're not able to go
23	ahead and put the cesspool in the front yard so we had it
24	approved for the backyard.
25	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I'm just trying to think, because

that property, from what I can see from the street, basically

	_	_		
	Appeal	Number	21532	
-				

- 2 drops dramatically so how -- and that's what I was thinking.
- 3 MR. KONIDARIS: It's like a left to right drop. So
- 4 that's gonna be backfilled.
- 5 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: So then you're going to put a
- 6 retaining wall to your neighbors on the left.
- 7 MR. KONIDARIS: On the left side it's levelled. On the
- 8 left side it's level. On the right side is where it drops.
- 9 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I'm not explaining myself clearly and
- 10 I didn't take a picture of it. Sorry. Col.
- 11 MR. KONIDARIS: So you're talking about if you're
- 12 looking dead on at the house?
- 13 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Just give me one second just to make
- sure we're both on the same page.
- MR. KONIDARIS: Sure. I think I can address that.
- MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
- 17 MR. KONIDARIS: I had multiple proposals from ceptic
- 18 companies that came by and they said that they would be able to
- 19 use the right side of the yard because of what we've done with
- 20 the retaining wall. Now it kind of created almost like a level
- 21 entryway for them to get access to the backyard from the right
- 22 side of the house, because we knew that we were proposing the
- expansion on the left side, which was traditionally where they
- 24 would gain access from.
- 25 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Right.
- MR. KONIDARIS: So because of that, you know, we went

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ahead and the retaining wall comes out and curves back in, not curves back in but there's a right angle towards the back of the property, towards the house so that we can create a flat area to gain entry to the backyard.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: So they're going to put some kind of a ramp to get up to the retaining wall I would imagine because the retaining wall -- I see it from the street and I just saw it yesterday. I drove by it yesterday.

MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah. It's gonna be backfilled to a certain degree to create a flat area so that we can go ahead and gain access. Yeah. Because it would create a challenge for landscaping as well. You know, with a crane, the septic can go ahead and just drop it in, but landscapers, the whole nine. So that's something we were thinking through.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Your garage presently is obviously not usable. It was the garage -- my house was also built in the 30s. That house was built like every other garage on that block. They're all one car really small car garage and they all need to be expanded to some degree. The question is to what degree they need to be expanded. One of our concerns is always getting too close to your neighbor on the other side. You're making your garage significantly larger then both in width and in depth, because you're going out -- going in and expanding it as well. I think it's a total of 27 feet. So you're making your garage much deeper then a normal garage

532
_

2 would be, because I guess you're matching it to your house.

3 MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah, that was the intention.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Okay. So I did something very similar, except that I didn't use the back of the house for the garage. I basically used that as a hallway and put a bathroom back there but I also extended it. But I didn't go anywhere near past my 10 foot minimum requirement on the side yard of the house. You're asking for a very large variance in the side yard and -- because it brings your house very close to your neighbor. It also makes it very, very difficulty to get to the back of your house, because once you put any foliage, which I'm assuming you're going to plant given all the work that you've at your house. You're going to put some plantings along the side of your house, which is natural. It creates that kind of privacy for your children in your backyard, that's going to shrink that five -- what is it, five, four or something like that.

MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah, we have put the privacy trees on that side.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Those are going to grow three feet in depth, you know, naturally. So now you have a two foot separation between those trees and your garage. So it's really a very, very tight space. Even a lawn mower would have a hard time to get through to the back. So that's what I'm personally resinating with and I think you're very, very close

1	Appeal Number 21522
1	Appeal Number 21532
2	on that side because of the fact that you've made the garage
3	what, 17 feet wide.
4	MR. KONIDARIS: I believe it's 16.
5	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: 16 something. The numbers are very
6	small so I'm having difficulty reading them. I'm sorry.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You'll see in the letter from
8	your neighbor, Ms. Reynolds, that those are two of her three
9	issues. Noise and privacy.
10	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: She also mentions sidewalks. We
11	have no sidewalks in this community so there is no sidewalks
12	are not an issue. The only children that should be there
13	should be your children and their friends. They're in there
14	house so it's not a problem. But the proximity to the side
15	yard really becomes to the side property line five foot one
16	inch it is really becomes half of what it really should be. I
17	don't know. You know, you have a 420 foot garage, part of it
18	which goes back. Okay. The storage area I'm assuming is the
19	one you're going to put in the back.
20	MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah. The cars will go in the front.
21	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: But to me it really makes your side
22	access very, very limited of five feet. Because, again, once
23	you put plantings, it wipes out three feet of your access. So

you're limiting yourself access to your backyard from that

side. Only giving yourself access from the other side and that

has other issues, which is the topography of the land. I don't

	22
1	Appeal Number 21532
2	know if anybody else has any comments about it. That's my
3	reservation.
4	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I agree. I agree with that. For a
5	Residence A it's a very significant variance. It doesn't mean
6	that maybe there isn't some kind of, you know, a small or
7	middle ground. I mean the other thing, you know, that that
8	I believe that you were here before.
9	MR. KONIDARIS: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: For a floor area variance and we
11	certainly appreciate, you know, where it ultimately went,
12	because we look at floor area very carefully. While this would
13	technically count as floor area, it doesn't put you over.
14	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Yeah. It's fine.
15	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So you're till respecting that.
16	MEMBER GOODSELL: Sir, have you by any chance discussed
17	with your architect possible alternatives. A standard for
18	garage, where I live, I believe is 12 feet by 20 feet which
19	would still put you over in the side yard, but it would be less
20	of an encroachment into the side yard. Have you considered
21	anything like. I mean I realize that we all want but we want.
22	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Excuse me, Patricia. Yeah. The 27
23	I have no problem with going back, because it 's basically

MEMBER GOODSELL: Exactly. I don't have a problem with that either.

24 within your property line.

1	Appeal Number 21532
2	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: They want 27, I have no problem with
3	that but usually it's 20. You're right. But that's fine.
4	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That also gives you space to put
5	bicycles, a little workbench and, you know, all those other
6	things.
7	MEMBER GOODSELL: And seriously, you want to park a car
8	in a garage. I never hard of such a thing.
9	MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah. We don't really have a huge
LO	driveway so it's tough kind of getting in and out of it so.
L1	SECRETARY WAGNER: I wanted to let you read the letter.
12	MEMBER DONATELLI: Of course any decision that we make
13	in similar circumstances can be considered precedent for other
L 4	applications and I I personally, as one board member,
L5	believe that there's side yard setbacks and aggregate side
16	yard setbacks are very important to the quality of life in the
17	town. It distinguishes us from other areas. Queens, the
18	Bronx, Brooklyn. And and so it provides a sense of open
19	space. It provides a sense of light, of air and so I guess I
20	would join in Mr. Hernandez's request to figure out if first,
21	there is some way we can pull back that garage to a place where
22	it still would serve a purpose that you want but have less of a
23	side yard encroachment. Less of an aggregate side yard
24	encroachment.
25	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: If I could add one other thing to

that. You know, is from my own house which is impossible to

1 Appeal Number 21532

park a car and there is no change to that ever, but I mean you are showing on here -- to me it's the width of the garage door that truly is an incubus, you know, and you do show it a 10 foot opening there, you call it a nine foot door on the other side. But I think having that extra space, again, to get a car in and, you know, Member Goodsell joked about getting a car into a garage. I mean that still would -- if we were to scoot this over some, you know, it would still give you the ability to do that.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: A standard packing space is 10 by 20 in a parking lot so that in theory you can get out of your car and open your doors without necessarily banging into a car or a wall and you're asking for 15 point something. So I think there is little room that you and your architect can talk through and, like I said, I'm not necessarily opposed to some relief. But I think going down five feet and change, I think is a disservice to you, as well as to your neighbor because you are limiting your access to your backyard going through there. And again, not that it's always a precedent, but someone down the line will come back and say, you know, one of your neighbors perhaps, well you approved that house that has a 5 foot one 5.4, whatever it is side yard, I want to do the same thing and then all of a sudden it becomes very crowded and every house in that neighborhood has the same problem.

MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah, and that's part of the challenge.

1	Appeal Number 21532
2	That's what I submitted what I submitted, because all of those
3	lots are very oddly shaped so it's not conducive to kind of
4	adding a normal modern day garage.
5	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: And they're all on a slant so you
6	have to go where it's flatter and, you know.
7	MR. KONIDARIS: Yeah.
8	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: But it creates also the problem that
9	that's the way the house is built and there's really only so
10	much more you can do with it without really getting on top of
11	your neighbor.
12	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I apologize, Jay. Because there are
13	two variances, you know, here and one of them is aggregate side
14	yard, you know, which has to do with how much elevation does it
15	present to the street. But the board we recognize the
16	irregularity, you know, of this site. So for myself, as one
17	board member, I don't have any any problem with the
18	aggregate. It's really just scooting that over and I don't
19	know if you want to make a suggestion if he chooses to.
20	SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman, I'm going to have him read
21	the letter that was sent in.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah, please.
23	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: We can take a vote now, but I think
24	you get a sense of how we're feeling about the side yard

setback. We can continue it if you would like us to and then

you can speak to your architect about possibly coming back with

25

_	Appeal	Number	21532

- 2 a little bit of that so we will leave it up to you how you want
- 3 us to proceed.
- VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: And if you continue it, you

 wouldn't have to come back up before us. You'd just have to

 submit the plans.
- 7 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Right.
- 8 MR. KONIDARIS: Okay. Yeah. So I think that's the
 9 logical choice here. We'll go ahead and continue it and we'll
 10 have a conversation.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: One second.
- MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I don't know how much you can hear

 of what we were discussing, but we were basically talking about

 how much -- right now you're asking for almost a 50 percent

 reduction in side yard setback. That's very hard.
- VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Sorry.
- 17 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: That's very hard for us to vote for 18 to be very honest with you. Could we see ourselves doing 20 19 percent reduction instead of five feet and two feet, that's very much doable. That would give you roughly a 12 foot wide 20 21 garage and not have a 10 foot door. So you still have another 22 foot on the side for space so it's a reasonable size. The 23 depth of 27 feet, I think you've heard that no one has any 24 objection to the depth of it. So you can still have storage in 25 the back of the garage and some storage on the side. Minimum, but some storage on the side. So if you can take it back to 26

1	Appeal Number 21532
2	your architect, discuss it with him and get him to redrew the
3	plan in that vain, I think we probably would look at it
4	favorably.
5	MR. KONIDARIS: All right. Thank you.
6	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: And like the Vice Chairman said, you
7	don't have to come back a second time and request a hearing.
8	You can resubmit the plan, because we're continuing the
9	application. You can resubmit the plans via e-mail and we can
10	vote on the new plans.
11	MR. KONIDARIS: Okay.
12	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Okay. But we clearly see it is a
13	unique property and it has quirks to it that we have to accept.
14	That can't be fixed. But we also need to try not to set
15	precedence that could be harmful in the future.
16	MR. KONIDARIS: Okay. Thank you.
17	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. So we're going to
18	continue it.
19	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So we'll continue this application.
20	Waiting for a revised plan to come in. Thank you very much.
21	MR. KONIDARIS: Thank you, Members of the Board.
22	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: The next application the Vice
23	Chairman is going to take that. I have a quick call that I
24	need to make so I will be back in a minute.
25	SECRETARY WAGNER: So let the record reflect that the

26 care man is not present.

28 1 Appeal Number 21577

2	Appeal Number 25177, Andrew Candres; 49 Shadyside Ave
3	in Port Washington; Section 4, Block 17, Lot 17 in the
4	Residence-B Zoning district. Variances from 70-41(A) and
5	7-40(A), to construct a second story addition too close to a
6	side property line and too close to a street.
7	VICE CUAIDMAN EDANCIS. Voulto hoard Appeal Number

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You've heard Appeal Number 21577, Andrew Candres. Is there anyone in the room interested in the application other than the applicant? Seeing now one. Ms. Miller, please give your name and address.

MS. MILLER: Janice Miller Architect, 2 Lincoln Place, Port Washington, New York.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you. Dive right in.

MS. MILLER: Good morning. I'm here on be half Andrew Candres who lives at 49 Shadyside Avenue, Port Washington. They're seeking permission to build -- they have a Cape Cod built in 1948 with a slope, you know, ceilings on the second floor and they're seeking permission to build a full second floor over the existing footprint. We have a couple of issues. We're really 5.3 feet from the north side of the property. need to have seven. And we are 25.4 feet from the front and we are supposed to have 30, but these are preexisting nonconforming issues. So there are many houses in this neighborhood who have extended the second floor as they hope to do and we feel that it will integrate very nicely with the neighborhood and give them the space that they need for their

29 1 Appeal Number 21577

2	family.

- 3 MEMBER DONATELLI: Just a few clarifications if I may.
- So you are merely building up on the existing foundation. 4
- 5 You're not creating a great nonconformity that currently
- 6 exists?

11

26

7 MS. MILLER: We are not creating a greater 8 nonconformity, but in the back left corner, I think it's 9 northeast possibly, there's a second floor addition that's 10 about 15 square feet that is not over a first floor. But it is

not any closer to the property line then the rest of the house.

- 12 MEMBER DONATELLI: And do you know when the house was built? 13
- MS. MILLER: 1948 as I found. That's what I found. 14

15 MEMBER GOODSELL: When I drove this neighborhood and I 16 did, I looked at the property. We all look at properties. 17 This house didn't appear to be any closer to the street then 18 any of the other houses on the block. You're stuck with what 19 you're stuck with. The law is the law, but that's why we have the Board of Zoning Appeals, because we understand that there 20 21 are exceptions and we can't exactly ask everybody on the block 22 to move theirs houses and we understand that families do need 23 more room. So the front yard setback to me is very -- does not brother me and, again, the question is really the nonconformity 24 25 of the house and expanding the nonconformity of the house. I

see there are other houses on the block that have done

1	Appeal Number 21577
2	expansions and I actually took a picture of the house to
3	refresh my recollection, which I actually thought was one of
4	the smaller houses on the block.
5	MEMBER DONATELLI: And I do have a question. As I look
6	at your Page A3 as part of your application, I think I'm seeing
7	an encroachment of a sky exposure plain?
8	MS. MILLER: Yes.
9	MEMBER DONATELLI: But you're not actually sited for
10	that. That's not part of the notice of disapproval in this
11	case?
12	MS. MILLER: It's not.
13	MEMBER DONATELLI: Oh, okay. All right. Withdrawn.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. MILLER: Okay.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Were you able to obtain any
17	consents from your neighbors? I mean it's not deadly if you
18	did not. Just give your name.
19	MR. CANDRES: Sorry. It's Andrew Candres, property
20	owner of 49 Shadyside. So we did send out letters to all the
21	neighbors and have been on the block for about 12 years now,
22	have great relationships with all the neighbors. I did not get
23	express consent from the neighbors, but, you know, they're
24	aware of the potential work on the house and what we are trying

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: And you did not get any

25

26

to do.

1 Appeal Number 21577

- 2 opposition either so that pretty much answers the question.
- 3 MEMBER DONATELLI: Any other questions?
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: No.
- 5 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: The only thing I would point out is
- 6 that you do have that overhang in the back of the house, which
- 7 is fine. That you pointed out.
- 8 MS. MILLER: Yeah.
- 9 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: It is -- I don't know if it's an
- 10 access point there, a door or something there leading into that
- 11 room, because I don't see it on the plan, but be aware that I
- 12 don't know what your FAR is, but if you are close to your FAR,
- do not close that space, because if you do, it may put you
- 14 over.
- MS. MILLER: No. No. We're fine.
- 16 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm just saying.
- MS. MILLER: We're at 2,366.
- 18 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I know builders will eventually say
- oh, what if you close this off and make it into a mudroom or
- something.
- 21 MS. MILLER: Oh, you mean in the future.
- 22 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Or as they're doing the work the
- 23 builder says why don't you make this into a mudroom or
- something and you end up back with a problem.
- MS. MILLER: No, they better not do that.
- 26 MEMBER GOODSELL: Well, not that we've never heard of

32 1 Appeal Number 21577 2 that before. MEMBER DONATELLI: All right. Any other questions, 3 comments? 4 5 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: No. I have no problem. 6 MEMBER DONATELLI: I would just note for the record 7 that the applicant is under the GFA so that this does not put 8 the house over. In fact, what they're asking us to approve is 9 the front yard setback of 4.6 feet and the side yard of 1.7 10 feet building over an existing foundation. If we were to look at the five factors, we would look at the cost of moving the 11 12 foundation and put it in a compliant location. So having said 13 all of that, I make a motion that we grant the application. VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: All right. We have a motion. 14 15 Do we have a second? 16 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Second. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Second by Mr. Hernandez. Can 18 you please poll the board? 19 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell? MEMBER GOODSELL: Ave. 20 21 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli? 22 MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye. 23 SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez? 24 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye. 25 SECRETARY WAGNER: Acting Chairman Francis?

VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. Application is granted.

	22
1	Appeal Number 21578
2	MS. MILLER: Thank you so much.
3	MR. CANDRES: Thank you very much.
4	SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21578, Michele P.
5	Johnson; 118 Huntington Road, Port Washington; Section 5, Block
6	63, Lot 25 in the Residence-A Zoning District. Variance from
7	70-101.B, to legalize a front porch that is located too close
8	to the street.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You've heard Appeal Number
10	21578, Michele Johnson. Is there anyone interested in the
11	application other than the applicant? Seeing no one. Mr.
12	Alberto, please give your name and address.
13	MR. ALBERTO: Good morning, Board Members. John
14	Alberto, Architect, 68 Highland Avenue in Port Washington and
15	I'm here today with the owner of 118 Huntington Road, Michele
16	Johnson and we're here to maintain a roofed over front porch
17	with a front yard of 29.2, where the building has department
18	has identified 34.5 as is required. So we're here for a 5.3
19	foot encroachment into the front yard. I have some documents,
20	in fact I have eight copies of the package.
21	SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 1.
22	MR. ALBERTO: Those documents show that when the
23	Johnson's purchased the property in 1996, the roofed over porch

was existing. So if you look at that first page, there's a

survey from 3/20/96 by Kulhanek and Plan and I highlighted the

front porch in question. So in '96 when they purchased it was

24

25

there. If you turn the page the next three pages are the
listing and on the third page of the listing is a photo, which
shows the that roofed over porch. It kind of looks like a
trellis type construction, but it has a solid roof. And then
the next couple of pages was an appraisal done by the owners in
'95 and then again on the third page of that grouping there's
another photo from a different angle which shows the front
porch. And finally, if you turn the page you'll see photos
that are taken last winter in color and show all the sides of
that roofed over porch. So in '95 the Johnson's had no idea
there was a permit for this structure and at that time it was
common, title searches were different, reviews were very
different and it never had a permit to their surprise. Now, in
2005 and 2006 the Johnson's obtained some building permits to
do construction. They put an addition on the north side and
the south side and in the architect's plans, they showed this
same structure and the survey submitted in 2005 it was shown.
And again, it wasn't picked up as a structure without a permit.
So anyway, recently they went to close these permits. They
were never closed from 2005 and 2006, and the plan examiner at
this time, of course they do an excellent thorough job looking
over properties, he picked up, hey, this never had a permit.
So they asked us to file permits, which we did and we're here
today and I'm just gonna quickly walk through the five
standards of why we think this is a good application. So would

1 Appeal Number 21578

it be an undesirable change to the neighborhood. So the structure has been there for 28 years and there is landscaping in front if it that hides most of it. In fact, if you turn to the last page of that package, I took three photos traveling on Huntington Road and the photo to the left shows a glimpse of that -- of that structure. As you move north to the middle of the house it's somewhat hidden and once you're past the middle of the house you can't see it. So it's very well hidden from the street. It's only 11 foot high and it's open on all sides. So it's not a full structure in that regards. And I do have -- the owner was able to talk to the neighbor to the south and obtain a consent form. This is the owner at 120 Huntington Road.

SECRETARY WAGNER: So this is Exhibit 2.

MR. ALBERTO: So we believe there is no undesirable affect to the change of the neighborhood. Can the benefit be achieved by some other method? Well, we they would have to cut 5.3 feet, which means they would have to put new columns, new beams, new footings, they'd have to rip apart the porch, put a new railing and it would be a great expense with very little gain from the street view. So we don't think that's a practical alternative. Is the request substantial? Now this is a Residence-A District and typically houses are 35 feet front yard setback and the code allows a five foot encroachment with a roofed over porch. So typically you would give out 30

36 1 Appeal Number 21578

2	feet in the Residence-A in most parts of the town. We're at
3	29.2. So we're less than a feet over the typical. Now why
4	must we be 34.5? When you look at the average within 200 feet,
5	there's one house that's 52.3 feet setback and it throws off
6	the entire average. So if you took that away, we're actually
7	very close to being to code and oddly enough, two houses down
8	to the north there's a house that is 27.9 feet. That's to the
9	wall of the house. We're 27 we're 29.2 to the open
10	structure. So we feel it's not at all a substantial request.
11	There is no adverse environmental impacts and was the
12	difficulty self created? Well, the owner certainly didn't
13	build this but they are responsible nowadays as the owner. But
14	as you know this standard shall not preclude the granting of
15	the variance. So we feel it's a good application. Michele,
16	did you want to add anything? You have to come up if you want
17	to. Name and address.
18	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you for your time today.

19 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Your name and address for the 20 record, please.

21

22

23

24

25

26

MS. JOHNSON: Michele Johnson, 118 Huntington Road, Port Washington. We bought the house in 1996. We applied for a mortgage, they did all the inspections and I had no idea that this porch that was there was too long. Also, the topography of the street, Port Washington, as you know is an old area. Our house was built in 1912 and the house next door was built

2	in the 70s and our house is level to the street but it's on a
3	hill. So many of the homes, as you go down the hill have long
4	staircases or a hill and then have to be setback further. So
5	the house next door to me is actually as far up as my porch is.
6	It's an older home built in the 30s, but the house next door in
7	the 70s is the one that Mr. Alberto said is 52 feet back. So
8	it would be a tremendous burden for us to cut five feet off of
9	this porch. We would have to relandscape, the expense would be
10	tremendous. So I do think it's a good application. I had no
11	idea this was a problem until we were making sure everything
12	from our work that we did apply for permits for was closed and
13	that's how we discovered this was even an issue. Thank you.
14	MEMBER GOODSELL: Ms. Johnson, if I may ask you. This,

MEMBER GOODSELL: Ms. Johnson, if I may ask you. This, to me, looks like a pergola. You know what a pergola is? MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

MEMBER GOODSELL: Did you add the roof part, because the pergola itself looks quite old and looks like it's been there for a long time. The roof that's been put on the pergola looks a little but newer.

MS. JOHNSON: It was rooting so we fixed it. But that roof was always there. I think it's in the picture from 1996.

MEMBER GOODSELL: I can see it.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, no, we actually replaced it. The roof was rotting so we replaced it with non rotting materials and we had to -- it was rotting overtime and we were getting,

1	Appeal Number 21578
2	you know, ants and bugs and things that we want to get rid of
3	so we redid that. When we did some of our other construction
4	we had them fix that as well.
5	MEMBER GOODSELL: And you really only found out about
6	this when the town came to inspect?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Yes. I had no clue. Yeah, no clue. And
8	I didn't put it there. It was there when we bought the house.
9	I had no idea. It came as a good surprised.
10	MEMBER DONATELLI: I would just note for the record
11	we've lately, as a board, spent a lot of time on Huntington
12	Road in Port Washington. It seems like it's been the luck of
13	the draw lately. Huntington Road is on our radar.
14	MS. JOHNSON: There's been a lot of new building across
15	the street and down around the corner.
16	MEMBER DONATELLI: And I would also note that
17	Huntington Road is curved at that point so that the lot is a
18	bit irregular due to that curve. I know that it's a very, very
19	old area of town. I know that we, as a board, make
20	distinctions over encroachments, whether we have something open
21	verses something enclosed, such as a vestibule, because that
22	becomes a bit more problematic. I would also note that this
23	has been here at least since 1995 and that because of the
24	curvature of the road it's not out of context with the facades

of the other houses. It is almost an architectural detail and

I think it probably, while we're not an aesthetic board, it

25

1	Appeal Number 21579
2	adds to the ambiance that is along that road.
3	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I agree.
4	MEMBER DONATELLI: Any other questions or comments?
5	All right. Mr. Vice Chairman, I make a motion that we
6	grant the application.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: All right. We have a motion by
8	Mr. Donatelli. Do we have a second?
9	MEMBER GOODSELL: I'll second.
10	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Seconded by Ms. Goodsell. Please
11	poll the board.
12	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez?
13	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye.
14	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell?
15	MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye.
16	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli?
17	MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye.
18	SECRETARY WAGNER: And acting Chairman Francis?
19	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye. Application is granted.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you so much.
21	MEMBER DONATELLI: Good luck.
22	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.

SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21579, David Schoer;

12 Longview Road in Port Washington; Section 5, Block 19, Lot

70-100.2(A)(2), to construct fences forward of a front building

142 in the Residence-A Zoning District. Variance from

23

24

25

^	
')	line.
Z .	T T I I C •

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You've heard Appeal Number 21579. Sorry. David Schoer. Is there anyone interested in 4 5 the application other than the applicant? Seeing one hand. 6 All right. Sir, you'll have an opportunity to speak after the 7 presentation.

Good morning. Please give your name and address.

MS. GONZALEZ: Good morning. Taylor Gonzalez from the law firm of Forchelli, Deegan, Terrana with an office at 333 Earle Oveington Boulevard, Unionsale, New York.

I'm here today seeking a variance for the property located at 12 Longview Road, located on the southeast corner of Longview Road and Hampton Road in Port Washington. As a matter of housekeeping, the public notice mailing was performed in accordance with the town code and submitted to the town BZA prior to the hearing. At this time we would like to submit the following documents for further support. A marked up survey prepared by New York Land Tech PC dated August 1, 2023, an aerial photograph of the property, photos of the proposed fencing location, the BZA decision of 2 Bedford, Appeal Number 18238 dated June 6, 2007 and consent letters from the neighbors at 20 Longview Road and 12 Hampton Road in Port Washington.

SECRETARY WAGNER: This will be Exhibit 1.

MS. GONZALEZ: With me this morning is the property owner David Schoer who is available to answer any questions you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2 may have.

As will be further discussed, the purpose of this application is to erect a four foot high estate fence within the front yard against the front building line. As general background, the property is situated within the Residence-A Zoning District. The property has an overall lot area of .397 acres and is presently improved with a single family dwelling, attached garage, asphalt driveway and multiple stone and brick walkways and patios. There is currently a chicken wire fence that predates Mr. Schoer's ownership of the property. property abuts Hampton Road to the west and a busy Longview Road to the north. Due to the two street frontages, the dwelling on the property is setback further towards the southeast corner of the property, an already narrow lot. As previously noted, due to the unique location of the property which fronts on two streets, the application is requesting relief from 70-100.2(A)(2), to allow the installation of a fence past the front building line. As shown in the marked up survey provided, the fenced in area will be located on the east side of the property. As can be seen in the aerial photographs and the site photographs, a majority of the property is screened with privacy hedges. These hedges will screen the proposed fence from view of the neighbors located to the north and east preventing any adverse impact. Unfortunately these hedges, while offering privacy, do not protect Mr. Schoer's

	42
1	Appeal Number 21579
2	young children or dog from the dangers of busy road, nor does
3	the flimsy chicken wire fence that currently exists. Due to
4	the location and size of the property, the applicant is
5	requesting a proposed fence past the front building line to
6	allow for room for the applicant's young children and dog to
7	run, play and enjoy the property. This request is not unique,
8	as similar situated properties in the area have requested
9	similar relief, including the property at 2 Bedford Road. 2
10	Bedford Road located .1 miles from the property and situated on
11	the corner of Bedford Road and Beacon Hill Road was granted the
12	same relief by this board on June 6, 2007.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Was the same relief with regard
14	to the position of the fence?
15	MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah. So it was past the front building
16	line.
17	MEMBER GOODSELL: Ms. Gonzalez, could you note for the
18	record that it is a unique shaped piece of property. The
19	houses faces Longview Road.
20	MS. GONZALEZ: It does.
21	MEMBER GOODSELL: And what you would normally think of
22	as backyard is relatively small. It looks like it's about 20
23	feet.
24	MS. GONZALEZ: It is. But there's additional hedge in
25	there and there's also a patio so it's not really great useful

space. There's not a lot of grass back there.

Τ	Appeal Number 21579
2	MEMBER GOODSELL: And the fence that the homeowner
3	would like to install, that's an estate fence.
4	MS. GONZALEZ: It is.
5	MEMBER GOODSELL: Which we actually do prefer over the
6	gigantic white six foot PVC fence, which is also a type that
7	works. But how high would the fence be?
8	MS. GONZALEZ: Four feet.
9	MEMBER GOODSELL: All the way around four feet?
10	MS. GONZALEZ: All the way around four feet.
11	MEMBER GOODSELL: Thank you.
12	MEMBER DONATELLI: And what is the purpose of the
13	fence?
14	MS. GONZALEZ: The purpose is to keep his children and
15	dog in the yard. If any balls or anything roll, it's a very
16	busy street their on. He just wants to protect his family.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I'm not so certain I, as one
18	board member, would give you the fence all the way to the front
19	property line. I mean that creates a very big yard. If we
20	gave you a fence from the front of the house going across, you
21	would still have pretty much a 50 foot space.
22	MS. GONZALEZ: It looks big on the survey but if you
23	actually go back there so like they have a bit of a patio
24	and then they have a small swing set for the children so most
25	of the grass area is taken up if you go from the property line.
26	There are photos in there as well.

l A	appeal l	Number	21579
-----	----------	--------	-------

2 MR. SCHOER: Can I say something too?

3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Just give your name and 4 address.

MR. SCHOER: Yes. David Schoer, I'm the homeowner of 12 Longview Road. Thank you for your time. In addition to what she had said, there's a sloping hill as well. So the actual square footage of usable space in that backyard is actually smaller. So there's hedging and there's a slopable hill right there as well so that the swing set is right up against.

MEMBER DONATELLI: All right. I'm well familiar with Longview and I'm well familiar with the fact that many driver's use Longview as a shortcut to get to Beacon Hill Road. And I'm certainly sympathetic. I'm not sure that a fence is -- is going to solve the problems, Longview problems and I know the history, because I was involved prior to my being appointed to this board, I was involved in civic situations, civic associations and I know that a petition was made to the town board to lower the speed limit from 30 miles an hour to 25 miles and between the gardening trucks that park on the street, the narrowingness of the street, the speed limit, the fact that stop signs seem to be optional in Port Washington, Longview has it's shares of issues, but I'm just not sure the fence is going to resolve those issues. I -- we -- we do get applications from time to time for people who are on corners and I am

mindful also of the fact that there is not that much area in
the, what the law would define as your backyard. So we are
sympathetic to your request, but at the same time as the
Chairman said, we really don't like granting fences in front
yards. Not all the way to the property line.

MS. GONZALEZ: So I'd like to clarify.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I'd just like to add something, because we are considering not the backyard at this point, what we are considering is the side yard. So what you're really asking for is the finance on the side yard.

MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: We recognize the backyard is very, very tiny. Actually it's not that tiny. I mean there are many houses with a 20 foot deep backyard, which is what you have as your official backyard. 20 feet by 65, that's 260 square feet. Not exactly small depending on where you live. For this property it's very small. What we're talking about is a 50 by 28 foot section to the left of the house as you look at the house, which the side yard. I, as one board member, have no problem in granting a fence enclosing that area. But I do, like Mr. Donatelli said, have a problem with the fence coming all the way street side in front of the house.

MS. GONZALEZ: So to clarify, it's not going all the way street side. It's going to be behind the hedges completely blocked from the view of the street.

1	Appeal Number 21579
2	MEMBER GOODSELL: Wait. If you could clarify the
3	record. Where is the chicken wire fence right now. Does it go
4	to the perimeter?
5	MS. GONZALEZ: No. There's pictures in the packet, but
6	you will see it's behind the hedging as will be the estate
7	fence. So it won't be very visible from the road.
8	MEMBER DONATELLI: So I'm reminded that the house that
9	you sited on Bedford, that that is in proximity to Port
10	Washington Boulevard. So Port Washington Boulevard has
11	actually a distinction of being even busier then Longview Road.
12	So I'm not sure that that is a good example for you. On the
13	other hand, I would point out that we have, under certain
14	circumstances, allowed fencing behind shrubbery that hides the
15	fencing and I know that we did this in on Ridge Road in Port
16	Washington in similar circumstances. I don't recall exactly
17	what those circumstances are right now and I think I probably
18	would want time to see exactly what we did. That was I
19	recall that was not right up to the property line, but they
20	were corner lots. They were state fences and in fact, I pass
21	them routinely but I know they were pulled back from the
22	property line.
23	MEMBER GOODSELL: So the intent here is an estate fence
24	that is behind the hedge. So standing in the street you would
25	not see the fence. Is that correct?

MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.

_	Appear Number 21373
2	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: That's not what's drawn on the plan.
3	MEMBER GOODSELL: The yellow on the plan that you've
4	given us isn't clearly behind the hedge. It seems to follow
5	the hedge. So perhaps the homeowner could just testify for a
6	second.
7	MR. SCHOER: Sure. Yeah. So what you're saying is
8	correct. Right now there's chicken wire fence, which is about
9	eight or nine feet from the curb in the middle of the hedge.
10	MEMBER GOODSELL: In the middle of the hedge. I was
11	just going to ask you where it was. So the hedge kind of
12	overgrows it.
13	MR. SCHOER: Yes. Exactly. And the intent is to
14	replace the chicken wire fence with this four foot estate
15	fence. So the idea is to put the estate fence in the middle of
16	the hedge so it's plant, fence, hedge.
17	MEMBER GOODSELL: Is that possible?
18	MR. SCHOER: Yes.
19	MEMBER GOODSELL: Without damaging your hedges?
20	MR. SCHOER: Yes. I spoke to the fence company,
21	because there's a gap between. It's like two rows of
22	plantings. So it can go right in between and as just to
23	reiterate, it's not on the curb. It's like eight or nine feet
24	setback from the curb.
25	MEMBER GOODSELL: So I imagine those hedges and those

plantings give you quite a bit of privacy on the side yard.

	A O
1	48 Appeal Number 21579
2	How high is the chicken wire fence right now?
3	MR. SCHOER: The chicken wire fence is maybe two and a
4	half, three feet off the ground.
5	MEMBER GOODSELL: The dog could easily jump that.
6	MR. SCHOER: That's the concern. The concern is
7	Longview, as you guys have said, is a major cut through street
8	between Roslyn and Port Washington. Having a two and a half
9	year and three week, and a 40 pound pointer mix dog who we want
10	to run around the yard together as we're growing up and this is
11	our forever house, we just want to make it safe. We're not
12	looking to put a six foot vinyl fence in the front. We're just
13	trying to replace the chicken wire with a four foot fence that
14	will keep our dog and our children enclosed so if a ball runs
15	out, they're not going to run out into the street.
16	MEMBER GOODSELL: I was just going to ask you how old
17	your children are.
18	MR. SCHOER: Yeah. It's a two and a half and a three
19	week old.
20	MEMBER GOODSELL: You're not putting a four foot fence
21	up for teenagers.
22	MR. SCHOER: Yeah, no.
23	MEMBER GOODSELL: There's a lot more needed to keep

MR. SCHOER: The intent is that if the dog is running around the backyard, we don't want to do a fido fence. The

them in the yard.

24

25

2	previous owner had a fido fence. We don't think that that will
3	be healthy and constructive for our dog. And so we want to
4	play ball in the front yard. The house is setback, you can't
5	do that. The backyard that you mentioned before,
6	Mr. Hernandez, it's not that beg in reality, because there's
7	hedging and the way that the patio is sort of built out from
8	the previous homeowner. So it's not really usable space to
9	enjoy in that sense. And so yes, it's a side yard. There's a
10	chicken wire fence. We've spoke to both neighbors, they're
11	both very supportive of it, the ones who it surrounds. Yes, we
12	can try to do it lined up with the front of the house, but then
13	it's really not it's not as enjoyable. It's not as big.
14	It's really small. There's a swing set and a patio and there's
15	really just not that much grass space for the dog and for us to
16	kind of run around. So that's our intent is just to replace
17	the chicken wire that's there.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MEMBER HERNANDEZ: But you understand our predicament. Your attorney just used in example a previous case which was granted in 2007. Okay. So we need to be very careful when we grant these things, because this is what happens, you know, they do their job. They go back and look up what was granted in the past and they use that as an example to get yours approved. So that's why we need to be very careful how we approve these things.

MR. SCHOER: Sure. And that is -- that example is very

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2	similar	to	what	we'	re	looking	to	do	and	it's	actually	 our
3	house is	s c.	loser	to	Por	t Boule	arc	l th	nen I	Bedfoi	rd.	

50

MEMBER DONATELLI: Okay. So we try to be consistent as a board and we are mindful of your application. We're trying to find a way that you can be consistent with prior decisions and also act on your application. What I would like to do is, with the Vice Chairman's approval, is I would like to reserve this so that we can look at the prior decisions. Like I said, I know that we approved two such similar applications on Ridge Drive. But I recall that they pulled back the fence somewhat from the property line. I don't recall what the distance was from the property line. For example, you mentioned well we're going to be far away from the curb. Well, the curb is not where your property line is. The property line is determined where the survey says it is determined. So I want to see how far we pulled back in other locations. Yes. Okay. Right. Okay. Thank you. But the Vice Chairman has just reminded me that we have somebody else that wants to speak. So before we reserve or do anything, why don't we hear from them.

 $\label{thm:continuous} \mbox{VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Step up, sir. Give your name} \\ \mbox{and address sir.}$

MR. VINCENT: Thank you very much, sir. My name is

David Vincent. It's nice to meet you. I'm the neighbor

directly across the street, 19 Longview Road. It's actually

the house I grew up in so I know Longview very well. It is an

extraordinarily road. It's gotten busier over the years.We've reached out to the town. They've been unwilling to do

4 anything to help us slow down traffic unfortunately.

One point of comment for the board before I address this issue. I received this letter dated June 24th regarding this hearing today. We received this last week registered mail. We were gone last week so I didn't get this until Sunday night. It's not a lot of notice for something like this. I don't know why I got a letter dated June 24th in July, but it made it very uncomfortable to come in the middle of a workday. So whatever happened there, it's unfortunate. Hopefully we can avoid that in the future.

The question that I had that you've very clearly answered is the fence would be a black fence, four feet I don't think is very high on the inside of the hedge. That was my only concern. There's no other fencing really visible on Longview. Quite frankly, myself, my wife are very much in favor of you doing that. It is a small yard, you know, I understand exactly what you're getting at. So for whatever it's worth, facing them directly, we're very much in favor of them doing that. I do our puppy Holly doesn't bark at you too much when you walk by. But that was really all. I just wanted to comment on the lateness of the letter and the notice that we got on this and just give our otherwise enthusiastic support for what they're trying to do with the fence.

1	Appeal Number 21579
2	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Thank you very much.
3	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
4	MEMBER DONATELLI: Thank you very much for coming.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: All right.
6	MEMBER DONATELLI: Are you going to oppose what this
7	gentleman said?
8	MS. GONZALEZ: No. I think we'll support his support.
9	MEMBER DONATELLI: Was there anything else you wanted
10	to add?
11	MS. GONZALEZ: No. I mean I just wanted to add that we
12	are willing to condition this application on the hedges fully
13	blocking the fence. We would like to add that.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Just one other question with
15	regard to the estate fencing. Is this a plastic or PVC fence
16	or is it the regular classic rod iron?
17	MR. SCHOER: No, the metal estate fence. The black
18	metal estate fence.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Okay. Good. Good.
20	MEMBER DONATELLI: So, Mr. Chairman, I would ask that
21	we reserve decision on this so that we can look into the prior
22	decision and that we make an application that we reserve it
23	to be able to review those prior applications.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Yeah. I agree. I think that's
25	a good way to move forward. So we will reserve the application

and probably won't decide it today. Maybe we can get it to by

2	our	next	hearing.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 3 MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
- VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Off the record. 4
- (A discussion was held off the record.) 5
- SECRETARY WAGNER: Let the record reflect the Chairman 6 7 has returned.

The next appeal, Appeal Number 21552, Edward Perlow; 2 Kent Road in New Hyde Park; Section 8, Block 294, Lot 20 in the Residence-B Zoning District. Variance from 70-231, to legalize a professional office in a cellar which is not permitted.

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21552, Edward Perlow. Is there anyone in the room interested in the application other than the applicant? Seeing no one. Please give your name and address.

MR. SOLIS: Good morning. John Brian Solis, 16 Dolphin Green, F1J, Port Washington, New York 11050. I'm here from the architects office Edward Hicks representing the Dr. Perlow. I'm here today seeking a variance to 70-231, in order to continue use of part of the cellar for the existing home occupation. Dr. Perlow bought this home and practiced nearly 40 years ago from the previous dentist who used it the same way. There have been no changes in the past 40 years, besides modernizing equipment and existing exam rooms. The dentist office only uses the cellar space for storage of files, office supplies and a small table for lunch breaks. We do not believe

2	the variance is substantial or an undesirable change, nor a
3	detriment to the physical or environmental conditions of the
4	neighborhood. The application is pretty straightforward.
5	Currently the doctor does live in the home with his wife and
6	his grand kids. The space is predominantly used by the
7	residential, but as mentioned, downstairs there are closets and
8	a small corner for a table for files for patients and such.
9	MEMBER DONATELLI: So you mentioned office supplies.
10	Will there be any types of chemicals, any types of dentistry
11	equipment that might cause flame?
12	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Gas or anything?
13	MEMBER DONATELLI: I'm kidding. But anything
14	flammable, anything dangerous, anything like that?
15	MR. SOLIS: No. No. It's just journal supplies used
16	for any type of office.
17	MEMBER DONATELLI: Can you tell me, did the Town of
18	North Hempstead issue any violations or
19	MR. SOLIS: The reason initially when we filed the
20	application back in, I believe it was 2019, he had moved out of
21	the home and was going to rent it. So originally the variance
22	was to have a rented property with the home occupation, which
23	we would need a variance for. At the same time, the building
24	department did go there to inspect it for the rental
25	application and that's when they saw that the cellar is being
26	used partially by the home occupation.

1	Appeal Number 21552
2	MEMBER DONATELLI: I see. When it was a rental
3	application.
4	MR. SOLIS: Correct. That's no longer the case.
5	MEMBER GOODSELL: I lived in that neighbor for 42
6	years. I pass this office on my way to Notre Dame church for
7	42 years. I had absolutely no idea that it was anything other
8	than a side entrance dentist office. It's expensive to set up
9	a dentist office and I couldn't image that the office itself in
10	the basement, but I see now it's only adjunct office in the
11	basement.
12	MR. SOLIS: Correct.
13	MEMBER GOODSELL: The patient room is actually on the
14	first floor, correct?
15	MR. SOLIS: Correct. No patients are allowed
16	downstairs.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: How does this dentist dispose
18	of materials having to do with x-rays and fillings?
19	MR. SOLIS: I apologize, I do not have that
20	information.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: But fun of that is in the
22	basement?
23	MR. SOLIS: No. No. And he also wouldn't feel
24	comfortable, because the space is partially used. There's a
25	study down there. It is partially used as recreation for his

grand kids at this moment. In the past it was for his

^	1 ' 7 1
7	children.

6

8

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 3 MEMBER GOODSELL: That's exactly right, because there is no way that the town would knock on the door and say good 4 5 morning, can we see your basement.
- MR. SOLIS: Yeah. It also caught him off guard, 7 because there was a previous dentist that used the space that converted it to home occupation and used it the same exact way.

9 MEMBER GOODSELL: Well, that's one of the exceptions. 10 We've developed these exceptions for a home attorney, a home dentist, accountant, home office exceptions that are 11 12 permissible and I have to admit I went to look at the denial 13 and I had to look up the difference between a basement and a cellar and I still don't understand the difference. But if in 14 15 fact, Mr. Chairman, the only thing in the basement or cellar is

17 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Storage for files.

> MEMBER GOODSELL: Storage for files, which is what I did in my office for 42 years, the basement is a perfect place to store files.

being used for is for storage, that there is no patient.

MR. SOLIS: I just want to have it on the record there's also a small table just for the receptionist and such to have their lunch down there.

24 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Yeah. We understand. The break 25 area.

26 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You also have to live there in order

	57
1	Appeal Number 21552
2	for it to be permitted. This may have been part of the problem
3	that they had.
4	MR. SOLIS: Correct. Initially when it was first
5	filed, part of the variance was going to be that he doesn't
6	live. But since Covid and everything, he has moved back into
7	the property.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: If we were and I think Ms.
9	Goodsell is leading toward making a motion, the only thing I
10	would add to that is a condition that the only thing be stored
11	in that cellar is traditional office equipment and documents
12	and nothing else.
13	MR. SOLIS: Okay. Perfectly acceptable.
14	MEMBER GOODSELL: That there be no access to the
15	public, that there be no patient rooms, that there be nothing
16	other than the owner of the property using that space.
17	MR. SOLIS: Okay. Perfectly acceptable.
18	MEMBER GOODSELL: And I would agree with that.
19	MEMBER DONATELLI: We did also talk about the break
20	room.

- MEMBER GOODSELL: Which is interesting to me, because presumably the doctor can go from his office to his house in
- the interior.
- 24 MR. SOLIS: Yeah. We're talking about the
- 25 receptionist.
- VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: He doesn't want the

1	Appeal Number 21552	

- 2 receptionist in his house.
- 3 MEMBER GOODSELL: Mr. Chairman, I, as one board member,
- 4 do not have a problem granting the application with the
- 5 conditions as we've just discussed, as Mr. Francis outlined.
- 6 That they are conditions that it be for storage only and that
- 7 it be for storage of office supplies. I presume and please
- 8 tell me if I'm wrong, the break room is simply a table and
- 9 chairs?
- 10 MR. SOLIS: Table, chairs and a microwave.
- 11 MEMBER GOODSELL: With the further provisor that there
- 12 be no patient access and no patients be permitted in the
- 13 basement.
- MR. SOLIS: Yes. Perfectly acceptable.
- 15 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: I think, just for the sake of
- 16 clarity, it's not -- the rejection was for an office in a
- 17 cellar. This is not an office. So we're not approving an
- office in the cellar. We are approving a storage room for
- 19 files and a table and chairs and microwave so they can have
- their lunch. It's not an office that we're approving.
- 21 SECRETARY WAGNER: Well, it's affiliated.
- MS. ALGIOS: It's an accessory.
- 23 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: It's not a dental office, which is
- 24 what he is rejected for.
- 25 SECRETARY WAGNER: Right. But it expands the area of
- the dental office space in the residence.

	59
1	Appeal Number 21581
2	MR. SOLIS: It's part of the office despite the fact
3	that it's not.
4	SECRETARY WAGNER: Right. It's not being used as the
5	actual office.
6	MEMBER GOODSELL: I have made a motion.
7	MEMBER DONATELLI: I will second.
8	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. So we have a motion by Member
9	Goodsell and a second by Member Donatelli. Please poll the
10	board.
11	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Goodsell?
12	MEMBER GOODSELL: Aye.
13	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Hernandez?
14	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Aye.
15	SECRETARY WAGNER: Member Donatelli?
16	MEMBER DONATELLI: Aye.
17	SECRETARY WAGNER: Vice Chairman Francis?
18	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Aye.
19	SECRETARY WAGNER: Chairman Mammina?
20	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And aye with the conditions as
21	stated.
22	MR. SOLIS: Thank you for your time.
23	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you.
24	SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number, Efaz Uddin; 68
25	Stephen Avenue, New Hyde Park; Section 8, Block 323, Lot 4; in

the Residence-C Zoning District. Variance from 70-50.A, to

- 2 construct a second story addition and two-story portico too
 3 close to the street.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21581,
- 5 Efaz Uddin. Is there anyone in the room who would like to
- 6 speak regarding the application other than the applicant?
- 7 Seeing no one. Please give your name and address.
- 8 MR. MEDINA: Juan Medina, I'm the engineer.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Back up a little bit from there.
- 10 Thank you.
- MR. MEDINA: Sorry about that.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You can pull the microphone up.
- 13 Yeah.
- MR. MEDINA: Juan Medina, I'm the engineer representing
- 15 the owner Efaz Uddin. My address is 1936 Hempstead Turnpike in
- 16 East Meadow. Property address is 67 Stephen Avenue, New Hyde
- 17 Park and we're seeking relief from section 70-50.A, which
- 18 requires a 25 foot front yard setback for the front portico,
- 19 which has a setback of 15.75 feet and the second story, which
- 20 has a setback of 20.08 feet. And the reason why we feel that
- 21 we are justified in our request is because this change does not
- 22 change the character of the neighborhood. If we look at the
- average setback in this neighborhood, it's 19.56 feet, which is
- 24 consistent with the existing building. The second story is
- going to be over the existing footprint of the building and the
- only exception to that average front yard is the front portico,

- which has a setback, again, of 15.75 feet.
- 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: What is the purpose of a two
- 4 story portico?
- 5 MR. MEDINA: It's a one story portico. Sorry. It's a
- 6 second story addition. So we're adding a story to a one story
- 7 building.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Doesn't the portico go up to
- 9 the second floor?
- 10 MEMBER GOODSELL: I'm looking at the plans and your
- 11 plans show a two story portico.
- 12 MR. MEDINA: Oh, yes. Yes. It's just as architecture
- 13 it looks better, but we're not opposed to reducing the portico
- 14 to how it is now, which the building department didn't have
- 15 record for.
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: We would have to insist that
- 17 you reduce it to the first floor.
- MR. MEDINA: That's not a problem.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And that you submit a drawing, you
- 20 know, for that and then the approval would then look for that
- 21 drawing so that we would be approving this on the basis of that
- 22 being reduced to one story.
- MR. MEDINA: That's not a problem.
- 24 SECRETARY WAGNER: There's a possibility they might not
- even need the variance.
- 26 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That could very well be true.

Τ	Appeal Number 21381
2	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: That's true.
3	MEMBER GOODSELL: And we do not mean we're not an
4	aesthetic board, but you must understand that we rarely grant a
5	two story portico and when a two story portico is approved, it
6	is more than meets the setback. It's an architectural feature,
7	but in this particular area and I did drive this area, if you
8	drive this area and you see a two story portico, it did not
9	come in front of us. It was built without permission.
10	MR. MEDINA: Understood.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: So I think what we're saying is
12	we're going to continue your application for the submission of
13	new plans. The building department will review them and you
14	may not even need to come back to us. I mean you may not even
15	need a variance for that portico.
16	MEMBER GOODSELL: Mr. Vice Chairman, does anybody have
17	I mean I don't have an objection to a second story.
18	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That is the subject of a variance as
19	well.
20	MEMBER GOODSELL: But I do object to the two story
21	portico, which I think is out of character.
22	SECRETARY WAGNER: So when he resubmits the plans
23	resubmit plans showing the one story portico.
24	MS. ALGIOS: Do you want to discuss the addition?
25	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: The addition is going straight

up?

1	Appeal Number 21581
2	MR. MEDINA: Straight up over the existing footprint of
3	the building, with the exception of the rear extension.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Which cannot be seen from the
5	front?
6	MR. MEDINA: Which cannot be seen from the front and
7	it's outside the scope of this variance.
8	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Can you offer testimony, which you
9	certainly approached before, you know, about the character of
10	the block in terms of setbacks and what might be setbacks of
11	other houses on that side of the street or other sides of the
12	street.
13	MR. MEDINA: Sorry. So if we look at page two of the
14	drawing that I provided, you see on the top right hand corner
15	the setbacks of the buildings adjacent to this property within
16	the 200 foot.
17	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: They have no numbers on them though.
18	MR. MEDINA: There should be numbers there, but if
19	there isn't, you have the table on the top. If I may approach,
20	I can show it.
21	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I don't see a table on the top of
22	the page.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Which page?
24	MR. MEDINA: Page two of the drawings.
25	MEMBER GOODSELL: Instead of house numbers we have lot

numbers.

- 2 MR. MEDINA: Correct.
- 3 MEMBER GOODSELL: And we don't have setback numbers.
- 4 We have a visual.
- 5 MR. MEDINA: The setbacks are in front of the numbering
- 6 --
- 7 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So your number that is down at the
- 8 bottom where normally we would see a street addition is the
- 9 setback --
- MR. MEDINA: Correct.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: -- rather then a conventional here's
- 12 a line and then --
- MR. MEDINA: That's consistent with the guidelines for
- the building department for preparing this table.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: If you would, on your resubmission,
- 16 show those setbacks in the conventual manner, we would
- 17 appreciate that.
- MR. MEDINA: Not a problem.
- 19 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: It makes it easier for us to
- 20 understand.
- 21 MR. MEDINA: I just follow the guidelines to get the
- 22 plans approved, but if you look at the diagram that the
- 23 building department provides, because this is directly from
- that guideline.
- VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: That's weird.
- 26 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: I've seen weirder things.

1	Appeal Number 21581
2	MEMBER DONATELLI: It would make sense, however,
3	somebody looking at this might very well think that that's
4	referring to the width of the lot.
5	MR. MEDINA: That's usually how that's represented.
6	MEMBER DONATELLI: We are asking for that
7	MR. MEDINA: I will show that. That's not a problem.
8	MEMBER GOODSELL: If we could discuss the second story
9	addition for a moment. Looking at the plans, is it the
10	applicant's testimony that the addition of the second story
11	will not violate GFA and will not create any sky plane issues.
12	Is that correct?
13	MR. MEDINA: That is correct.
14	MEMBER GOODSELL: And could you talk just a little bit
15	about the character of this particular street. It is a dead
16	end street or it seems to dead end anyway. I see where it's up
17	against a shopping center at the end, what the other houses on
18	that dozen or so houses, some of them have been approved for a
19	second story. Is that correct?
20	MR. MEDINA: Some of them have. And it's consistent
21	where that second story is over the existing.
22	MEMBER GOODSELL: Existing over the original house.
23	But again, none of them have a two story portico.
24	MR. MEDINA: And I can reduce the portico to the first
25	story. That shouldn't be a problem for the homeowner.

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: So resubmit those then to Ms. Wagner

1	Appeal Number 21581	
2	and then she circulates them to us.	

- 3 MR. MEDINA: Thank you.
- 4 MEMBER DONATELLI: So we are continuing.
- 5 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Just for the record, because nowhere
 6 in here did I see the number, but the house is setback 20 feet
 7 one inch without the portico. So the portico will be the
 8 encroachment so the second story would be at the same 20 feet
 9 one inch?
- MR. MEDINA: Exactly. And just to be clear, once we resubmit the plans, do we have to come back to the board?
- 12 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: No.
- UNKNOWN SPEAKER: You'll submit everything to the

 building department, they'll take a look at them and then you

 would just e-mail them to my e-mail address and we'll take it

 from there.
- 17 MR. MEDINA: Got it. Thank you.
- 18 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Unless they pick up something new.
- Because if the plans have changed, you don't have to come back
- 20 to us. We would just review it based on the resubmission.
- 21 MR. MEDINA: Understood. Thank you. Have a good day.
- 22 SECRETARY WAGNER: Thank you.
- 23 (A recess was taken.)
- 24 SECRETARY WAGNER: Appeal Number 21582, Xin Wei Xu; 3
- Twelfth Street, Carle Place, Section 10, Block 269, Lot 30 in
- the Residence-B Zoning District. Variances from 70-40.B,

close to the side property line.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

67 1

	2	70-41.B, 70-42, 70-42.6, 70-102.C(5)(a), 70-100.1(A),
	3	70-100.2(A), and 70-100.2(D), to construct a one story addition
,	4	that is too close to the street, a deck and louvered awning
	5	that are too close to the side property line, a second story
(6	addition that is too close to the rear property line, paving
	7	covering too much of the secondary front yard, an in ground
;	3	pool that is too close to the side property line, pool
	9	equipment that is too close to the rear property line, an in
1)	ground pool, awning, barbecue and a pool barrier in the side
1	1	yard, which is not permitted, fencing that is too tall and

CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: You've heard Appeal Number 21582, Xin Wei Xu. Is there anyone in the room interested in the application other than the applicant? Seeing hands up and just before we get started, for the record, this piece of property is, I don't know within 20 houses of, you know, where -- in Carle Place where I live and I just want to put that on the record. I have no problem being objective on this and bring familiarity to the neighborhood, which is kind of what the zoning board is all about. So please give your name and address.

being used as a pool barrier fence, and a barbecue that is too

MR. MUNISTERI: Good afternoon, Members of the Board. My name is Mark Anthony Munisteri, architect. My office is located at 1563 Bellmore Avenue in Bellmore. And today I'm

2 representing my clients Xin Wei Xu.

3 So originally I had a beautiful presentation prepared, 4 pictures, et cetera. But in discussing and I just found out 5 this is the opposition, because we did get a letter of 6 opposition from Mr. Heran. So perfect timing at the break I 7 chatted with them. So what they're asking me to do is have a 8 conversation with a few more of the neighbors who were not able 9 to come here today. So in all fairness I'm going to ask if I 10 could postpone this to the soonest possible date so that I can meet with all the neighbors, discuss exactly -- it is quite 11 12 confusing, because it is a corner property what's going on. It 13 does seem like they're building a Jiffy Lube on their front lawn. So we want to make sure they're very clear of what 14 15 actually is construction. There are a few things that we're 16 giving back as well that are actually just missed on my office. 17 Instead of being 10 feet, it's 9.2 feet. We moved that to 10 18 feet and a couple of other things we can eliminate them off the 19 variance altogether.

20 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Okay. I guess the question is --

21 MR. MUNISTERI: Can I postpone this?

22 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Adjourn.

MR. MUNISTERI: Adjourn. Excuse me.

24 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: If you work some things out, that's

25 fine.

26 MR. MUNISTERI: I want it to be favorable for

2 everybody.

- 3 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: That's a good point.
- 4 MEMBER DONATELLI: We always encourage the applicants
- 5 to speak to the surrounding neighbors.
- 6 MR. MUNISTERI: I'm going to give them all my card so
- 7 we can set one thing up and I can speak to all of them.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Sounds good.
- 9 MR. MUNISTERI: All right.
- 10 MS. ALGIOS: He's gotta redo the regular.
- MR. MUNISTERI: So I'm also gonna probably put new --
- how does this work, because I'm making a couple of changes?
- 13 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: If you're going to need new
- variances, then you would have to.
- MR. MUNISTERI: Less.
- 16 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: You're going to need less variances?
- 17 SECRETARY WAGNER: You have to resubmit your plans. If
- 18 you're --
- MR. MUNISTERI: Go ahead.
- 20 SECRETARY WAGNER: You want it to be done right.
- MR. MUNISTERI: That's correct.
- 22 SECRETARY WAGNER: You need to upload the plans to the
- 23 portal, then they would issue a revised decision letter and
- 24 then we would advertise the hearing based on the revised
- 25 disapproval letter.
- 26 MR. MUNISTERI: Would I be able to make it for the

2 September da	te?
----------------	-----

- 3 SECRETARY WAGNER: That's up --
- CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We can't say. 4
- 5 SECRETARY WAGNER: Yeah. We don't know how long it
- 6 would take for them to do all that.
- 7 UNKNOWN SPEAKER. We can adjourn you to a date certain for September. Keep your fingers crossed and if we can get you 8
- 9 on we will.
- 10 MR. MUNISTERI: What are the options and I'm asking for
- the board, this includes you guys as well, that if I came here 11
- to make these few changes which I've heard before, to make it 12
- 13 instead of having 9.2 to slide that back to 10 foot, because
- they've been a year and a half into this process. I know that 14
- 15 doesn't necessarily matter to you, but -- and they're not
- 16 living in the house and they would like to get this going.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: We can't give any opinion as to --
- 18 MR. MUNISTERI: Understood.
- CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: -- what we'll feel until we see it. 19
- So I think we then adjourn it to a date uncertain, you know, 20
- 21 for, if you want to say the next board meeting or September
- 22 board meeting. I don't know that gives you six weeks.
- 23 MR. MUNISTERI: Yeah, at least. I'd appreciate that.
- CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: That's okay and if you can't make 24
- 25 it, you let us know.
- MR. MUNISTERI: Is that good for you guys? 26

1	Proceedings
---	-------------

- 2 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And just for the record, the -- the
- 4 audience agrees with the adjournment as well.
- 5 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: September 4th or 18th are the
- 6 options.
- 7 MR. MUNISTERI: That's what I rather do.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: Right. Because we have two
- 9 hearings.
- 10 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: We have two hearings that month.
- 11 MR. MUNISTERI: I got what? I'm sorry.
- 12 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: You have September 9th -- I'm sorry,
- fourth or 18th to choose.
- 14 MR. MUNISTERI: The sooner the better, please.
- 15 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: THE fourth.
- 16 MR. MUNISTERI: I'll get it this week to you guys.
- 17 Thank you all. I appreciate your time guys. I'm sorry.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: Thank you.
- MEMBER DONATELLI: Not a problem.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MAMMINA: And thank you to neighbors. It's
- important. Generally we don't let people speak.
- 22 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: No, I have a question. You just
- 23 mentioned a date.
- 24 MEMBER HERNANDEZ: September 4th. September 4th.
- 25 (A discussion was held off the record.)
- 26 SECRETARY WAGNER: So we had the request for 12 Beverly

Τ	Proceedings
2	Road in Port Washington, it was continued. The board had asked
3	them to reduce the size of the portico and then before doing
4	that they had retained counsel and the counsel is asking if
5	they can have the opportunity to come back before the board and
6	present additional information.
7	MS. ALGIOS: We just want to make sure the board is
8	okay with that.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: I'm fine with that.
10	MEMBER HERNANDEZ: Are they presenting different
11	information or representing the information?
12	MR. ALBERTO: That's why we're addressing it with you.
13	We wrote a letter, we ask that counsel write a letter
14	explaining why she would look to come back, because, you know,
15	really they had their chance to come before the board and
16	present their case and the board wanted a reduction and they're
17	choosing not to do that at this point, but counsel has said
18	there was additional information that was not presented.
19	MEMBER DONATELLI: But we did continue the application.
20	MR. ALBERTO: And it is open. So we just want to make
21	sure on the record that the board does not have any issues with
22	that.
23	MEMBER DONATELLI: I have no objections.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN FRANCIS: You mean a motion to reopen?
25	MS. ALGIOS: It's not a redo because it's continued.
26	MEMBER DONATELLI: I'm fine with it.

1	P	roceedings
2	SECRETARY WAGNER:	You're all fine with it?
3	MEMBER DONATELLI:	Yes.
4	MEMBER HERNANDEZ:	What's the address.
5	MEMBER DONATELLI:	12 Beverly. It's continued for new
6	information.	
7	SECRETARY WAGNER:	Now we can adopt SEQRA.
8	MEMBER DONATELLI:	I make a motion that we adopt SEQRA
9	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA:	We have a motion by Member
10	Donatelli. Do we have a	second?
11	MEMBER GOODSELL:	Second.
12	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA:	Seconded.
13	SECRETARY WAGNER:	All in favor.
14	MEMBER HERNANDEZ:	Aye.
15	MEMBER GOODSELL:	Aye.
16	MEMBER DONATELLI:	Aye.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN FRAN	CIS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN MAMMINA:	Aye.
19	(TIME NOTED: 1	2:19 p.m.)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	I, NICOLE L. BASILE, a Notary Public
4	within and for the State of New York do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing proceeding was taken
6	before me on the 20th day of March, 2024. The said
7	testimony was taken stenographically by myself and
8	then transcribed. The within transcript is a true
9	record of the said testimony.
10	I am not connected by blood or marriage
11	with any of the said parties, nor interested directly
12	or indirectly in the matter in controversy, nor am I
13	in the employ of any of the counsel.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my
15	hand this 18^{th} day of September, 2024.
16	
17	nicole d. Basili
18	NICOLE L. BASILE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	